

# “Minimal geometric data” approach to Dirac algebra, spinor groups and field theories

Daniel Canarutto

*Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata “G. Sansone”,  
Via S. Marta 3, 50139 Firenze, Italia  
<http://www.dma.unifi.it/~canarutto>*

2nd revised version, 13 February 2008

## Abstract

The three first sections contain an updated, not-so-short account of a partly original approach to spinor geometry and field theories introduced by Jadczyk and myself [3, 4, 5]; it is based on an intrinsic treatment of 2-spinor geometry in which the needed background structures have not to be assumed, but rather arise naturally from a unique geometric datum: a vector bundle with complex 2-dimensional fibres over a real 4-dimensional manifold. The two following sections deal with Dirac algebra and 4-spinor groups in terms of two spinors, showing various aspects of spinor geometry from a different perspective. The last section examines particle momenta in 2-spinor terms and the bundle structure of 4-spinor space over momentum space.

AMS 2000 MSC: 15A66, 83C22.

Keywords:

Dirac algebra, spinor groups, two-spinors, Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields

**Acknowledgements:** Thanks are due to Carlo Franchetti (Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata “G. Sansone”, Firenze) for useful discussions and suggestions.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Two-spinor geometry</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Complex conjugated spaces . . . . .	3
1.2	Hermitian tensors . . . . .	4
1.3	Two-spinor space . . . . .	5
1.4	2-spinors and Minkowski space . . . . .	6
1.5	From 2-spinors to 4-spinors . . . . .	7
1.6	Further structures . . . . .	8
1.7	2-spinor groups . . . . .	9
1.8	2-spinor groups and Lorentz group . . . . .	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Two-spinor bundles</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Two-spinor connections . . . . .	11
2.2	Two-spinor tetrad . . . . .	13

2.3	Cotetrad . . . . .	14
2.4	Tetrad and connections . . . . .	15
2.5	The Dirac operator . . . . .	16
<b>3</b>	<b>Two-spinors and field theories</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1	The fields . . . . .	16
3.2	Gravitational Lagrangian . . . . .	17
3.3	Electromagnetic Lagrangian . . . . .	18
3.4	Dirac Lagrangian . . . . .	19
3.5	Field equations . . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Dirac algebra in two-spinor terms</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1	Dirac algebra . . . . .	21
4.2	Decomposition of $\text{End } \mathbf{W}$ and $\varepsilon$ -transposition . . . . .	22
4.3	$\varepsilon$ -adjoint and characterization of $\mathbf{D}$ . . . . .	23
<b>5</b>	<b>Clifford group and its subgroups</b>	<b>24</b>
5.1	Clifford group . . . . .	24
5.2	Pin and Spin . . . . .	27
<b>6</b>	<b>Spinors and particle momenta</b>	<b>29</b>
6.1	Particle momentum in two-spinor terms . . . . .	29
6.2	Bundle structure of 4-spinor space over momentum space . . . . .	31

## Introduction

The precise equivalence between the 4-spinor and 2-spinor settings for electrodynamics was exposed by Jadczyk and myself in [2, 3, 4, 5]. In summary one sees that, from an algebraic point of view, the only notion of a complex 2-dimensional vector space  $\mathbf{S}$  yields, naturally and without any further assumptions, all the needed algebraic structures through functorial constructions; conversely in a 4-spinor setting, provided one makes the minimum assumptions which are needed in order to formulate the standard physical theory, the 4-spinor space naturally splits (Weyl decomposition) into the direct sum of two 2-dimensional subspaces which are anti-dual to each other. In a sense, which setting one regards as fundamental is then mainly a matter of taste. The 4-spinor setting is closer to standard notations, and some formulas can be written in a more compact way, while the relations among the various objects are somewhat more involved. The 2-spinor setting turns out to give a much more direct formulation, in which all the basic objects and the relations among them naturally set into their places; just from  $\mathbf{S}$  one automatically gets *exactly* the needed algebraic structure, nothing more, nothing less: 4-spinor space  $\mathbf{W}$  with the ‘Dirac adjoint’ anti-isomorphism, Minkowski space  $\mathbf{H}$  and Dirac map  $\gamma : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$  with the required properties. Further objects which are commonly considered depend on the choice of a gauge of some sort, whose nature is precisely described.

When we consider a vector bundle  $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ , where now the fibres are complex 2-dimensional and  $\mathbf{M}$  is a real 4-dimensional manifold, then we don’t have to assign any further background structure in order to formulate a full Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac theory. In fact we naturally get a vector bundle  $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  whose fibres are

Minkowski spaces, a 4-spinor bundle  $\mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  and so on. Any object which is not determined by geometric construction from the unique geometric datum  $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  is a *field* of the theory, namely we consider: the tetrad  $\Theta : \mathbf{TM} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H}$ , the 2-spinor connection  $F$ , the electromagnetic and Dirac fields. (Even coupling factors naturally arise as covariantly constant sections of the real line bundle  $\mathbb{L}$  of *length units*, which is geometrically constructed from  $\mathbf{S}$ .) The gravitational field is described by the tetrad (which can be seen as a ‘square root’ of spacetime metric) and by the connection induced by  $F$  on  $\mathbf{H}$ , while the remaining part of the spinor connection can be viewed as the electromagnetic potential. A natural Lagrangian density for all these fields is then introduced; the relations between metric and connection and between e.m. potential and e.m. field follow from the (Euler-Lagrange) field equations. All considered, this setting has some original aspects but is not in contrast to the (by now classical) Penrose formalism [12].

In §4 and §5 I’ll show how the above said algebraic setting, and in particular the natural splitting of the 4-spinor space into the direct sum of its Weyl subspaces, enables us to examine the structures of the Dirac algebra, the Clifford group and its subgroups from a different perspective.

In §6 I’ll show the strict relation existing between the two-spinor setting and the geometry of particle momenta, in particular the bundle structure of  $\mathbf{W}$  over the space of momenta. These results are a preparation to a 2-spinor formulation of quantum electrodynamics along the lines of a previous paper [6], in which the classical structure underlying electron states is a 2-fibred bundle over spacetime.

## 1 Two-spinor geometry

In this section we’ll see how all the fundamental geometric structures needed for Dirac theory naturally arise through functorial constructions from a two-dimensional complex vector space, with no further assumptions.

### 1.1 Complex conjugated spaces

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a set and  $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is any map, then  $\bar{f} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : a \mapsto \overline{f(a)}$  is the conjugated map. Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a complex vector space of finite-dimension  $n$ ; its *dual* space  $\mathbf{V}^\star$  and *antidual* space  $\mathbf{V}^{\bar{\star}}$  are defined to be the  $n$ -dimensional complex vector spaces of all maps  $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which are respectively linear and antilinear. One then has the distinguished anti-isomorphism  $\mathbf{V}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^{\bar{\star}} : \lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}$ .

Set now  $\bar{\mathbf{V}} := \mathbf{V}^{\star\star}$ , and call this the *conjugate space* of  $\mathbf{V}$ . One has the natural isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{V} \cong \mathbf{V}^{\star\star} \cong \mathbf{V}^{\bar{\star}\bar{\star}}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{V}} := \mathbf{V}^{\star\star} \cong \mathbf{V}^{\bar{\star}\bar{\star}}.$$

Summarizing, one gets the four distinct spaces

$$\mathbf{V} \leftrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{V}}, \quad \mathbf{V}^\star \leftrightarrow \mathbf{V}^{\bar{\star}},$$

where the arrows indicate the conjugation anti-isomorphisms.

Accordingly, coordinate expressions have four types of indices. Let  $(\mathbf{b}_A)$ ,  $1 \leq A \leq n$ , be a basis of  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $(\mathbf{b}^A)$  its dual basis of  $\mathbf{V}^\star$ . The corresponding indices in the conjugate spaces are distinguished by a dot, namely one writes

$$\bar{\mathbf{b}}_{A'} := \overline{\mathbf{b}_A}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{b}}^{A'} := \overline{\mathbf{b}^A},$$

so that  $\{\bar{\mathbf{b}}_{A'}\}$  is a basis of  $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$  and  $\{\bar{\mathbf{b}}^{A'}\}$  its dual basis of  $\bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star$ . For  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{V}^\star$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} v &= v^A \mathbf{b}_A, & \bar{v} &= \bar{v}^{A'} \bar{\mathbf{b}}_{A'}, \\ \lambda &= \lambda_A \mathbf{b}^A, & \bar{\lambda} &= \bar{\lambda}_{A'} \bar{\mathbf{b}}^{A'}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{v}^{A'} = \overline{v^A}$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_{A'} := \overline{\lambda_A}$  and Einstein summation convention is used.

The conjugation morphism can be extended to tensors of any rank and type; if  $\tau$  is a tensor then all indices of  $\bar{\tau}$  are of reversed (dotted/non-dotted) type; observe that dotted indices cannot be contracted with non-dotted indices. In particular if  $K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{V}) \subset \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}^\star$  then  $\bar{K} \in \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{V}}) \subset \bar{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star$  is the induced conjugated transformation (under a basis transformation, dotted indices transform with the conjugate matrix).

## 1.2 Hermitian tensors

The space  $\mathbf{V} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}$  has a natural real linear (complex anti-linear) involution  $w \mapsto w^\dagger$ , which on decomposable tensors reads

$$(u \otimes \bar{v})^\dagger = v \otimes \bar{u}.$$

Hence one has the natural decomposition of  $\mathbf{V} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}$  into the direct sum of the *real* eigenspaces of the involution with eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ , respectively called the *Hermitian* and *anti-Hermitian* subspaces, namely

$$\mathbf{V} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}} = (\mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}) \oplus \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}).$$

In other terms, the Hermitian subspace  $\mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}$  is constituted by all  $w \in \mathbf{V} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}$  such that  $w^\dagger = w$ , while an arbitrary  $w$  is uniquely decomposed into the sum of an Hermitian and an anti-Hermitian tensor as

$$w = \frac{1}{2}(w + w^\dagger) + \frac{1}{2}(w - w^\dagger).$$

In terms of components in any basis,  $w = w^{AB} \mathbf{b}_A \otimes \bar{\mathbf{b}}_B$  is Hermitian (anti-Hermitian) iff the matrix  $(w^{AB})$  of its components is of the same type, namely  $\bar{w}^{BA} = \pm w^{AB}$ .

Obviously  $\mathbf{V}^\star \otimes \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star$  decomposes in the same way, and one has the natural isomorphisms

$$(\mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}})^* \cong \mathbf{V}^\star \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star, \quad (\mathbf{i} \mathbf{V} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}})^* \cong \mathbf{i} \mathbf{V}^\star \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star,$$

where  $*$  denotes the *real* dual.

A Hermitian 2-form is defined to be a Hermitian tensor  $h \in \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star \bar{\vee} \mathbf{V}^\star$ . The associated quadratic form  $v \mapsto h(v, v)$  is real-valued. The notions of signature and non-degeneracy of Hermitian 2-forms are introduced similarly to the case of real bilinear forms. If  $h$  is non-degenerate then it yields the isomorphism  $h^b : \bar{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^\star : \bar{v} \mapsto h(\bar{v}, \_)$ ; its conjugate map is an anti-isomorphism  $\bar{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star$  which, via composition with the canonical conjugation, can be seen as the isomorphism  $\bar{h}^b : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{V}}^\star : v \mapsto h(\_, v)$ . The inverse isomorphisms  $h^\#$  and  $\bar{h}^\#$  are similarly related to a Hermitian tensor  $h^{-1} \in \bar{\mathbf{V}} \bar{\vee} \mathbf{V}$ . One has the coordinate expressions

$$\begin{aligned} (h^b(\bar{v}))_B &= h_{AB} \bar{v}^A, & (\bar{h}^b(v))_{A'} &= h_{AB} v^B = \bar{h}_{BA'} v^B, \\ (h^\#(\bar{\lambda}))^B &= h^{AB} \bar{\lambda}_{A'}, & (\bar{h}^\#(\lambda))^{A'} &= h^{AB} \lambda_B = \bar{h}^{BA'} \lambda_B, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h^{CA} h_{CB} = \delta^A_B$ ,  $h^{AC} h_{BC} = \delta^A_B$ .

### 1.3 Two-spinor space

Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be a 2-dimensional complex vector space. Then  $\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}$  is a 1-dimensional complex vector space; its dual space  $(\wedge^2 \mathbf{S})^\star$  will be identified with  $\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star$  via the rule<sup>1</sup>

$$\omega(s \wedge s') := \frac{1}{2} \omega(s, s') , \quad \forall \omega \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star, \quad s, s' \in \mathbf{S} .$$

Any  $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star \setminus \{0\}$  (a ‘symplectic’ form on  $\mathbf{S}$ ) has a unique ‘inverse’ or ‘dual’ element  $\omega^{-1}$ . Denoting by  $\omega^\flat : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^\star$  the linear map defined by  $\langle \omega^\flat(s), t \rangle := \omega(s, t)$  and by  $\omega^\sharp : \mathbf{S}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  the linear map defined by  $\langle \mu, \omega^\sharp(\lambda) \rangle := \omega^{-1}(\lambda, \mu)$ , one has

$$\omega^\sharp = -(\omega^\flat)^{-1} .$$

The Hermitian subspace of  $(\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}) \otimes (\wedge^2 \overline{\mathbf{S}})$  is a 1-dimensional real vector space with a distinguished orientation, whose positively oriented semispace

$$\mathbb{L}^2 := [(\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}) \bar{\vee} (\wedge^2 \overline{\mathbf{S}})]^+ := \{w \otimes \bar{w}, \quad w \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{S}\}$$

has the square root semi-space  $\mathbb{L}$ , called the space of *length units*.<sup>2</sup>

Next, consider the complex 2-dimensional space

$$\mathbf{U} := \mathbb{L}^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbf{S} .$$

This is our *2-spinor space*. Observe that the 1-dimensional space

$$\mathbf{Q} := \wedge^2 \mathbf{U} = \mathbb{L}^{-1} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbf{S}$$

has a distinguished Hermitian metric, defined as the unity element in

$$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}^\star \bar{\vee} \mathbf{Q}^\star \equiv (\wedge^2 \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star) \bar{\vee} (\wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star) = \mathbb{L}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star) \bar{\vee} (\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star) \cong \mathbb{R} .$$

Hence there is the distinguished set of normalized symplectic forms on  $\mathbf{U}$ , any two of them differing by a phase factor.<sup>3</sup>

Consider an arbitrary basis  $(\xi_A)$  of  $\mathbf{S}$  and its dual basis  $(x^A)$  of  $\mathbf{S}^\star$ . This determines the mutually dual bases

$$\mathbf{w} := \varepsilon^{AB} \xi_A \wedge \xi_B , \quad \mathbf{w}^{-1} := \varepsilon_{AB} x^A \wedge x^B ,$$

respectively of  $\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}$  and  $\wedge^2 \mathbf{S}^\star$  (here  $\varepsilon^{AB}$  and  $\varepsilon_{AB}$  both denote the antisymmetric Ricci matrix), and the basis

$$l := \sqrt{\mathbf{w} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{w}}} \quad \text{of} \quad \mathbb{L} .$$

Then one also has the induced mutually dual, *normalized* bases

$$(\zeta_A) := (l^{-1/2} \otimes \xi_A) , \quad (z^A) := (l^{1/2} \otimes x^A)$$

<sup>1</sup>Here,  $s \wedge s' := \frac{1}{2}(s \otimes s' - s' \otimes s)$ . This contraction, defined in such a way to respect usual conventions in two-spinor literature, corresponds to 1/4 standard exterior-algebra contraction.

<sup>2</sup>A *unit space* is defined to be a 1-dimensional real semi-space, namely a positive semi-field associated with the semi-ring  $\mathbb{R}^+$  (see [1, 2] for details). The *square root*  $\mathbb{U}^{1/2}$  of a unit space  $\mathbb{U}$ , is defined by the condition that  $\mathbb{U}^{1/2} \otimes \mathbb{U}^{1/2}$  be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{U}$ . More generally, any *rational power* of a unit space is defined up to isomorphism (negative powers correspond to dual spaces). In this article we only use the unit space  $\mathbb{L}$  of lengths and its powers; essentially, this means that we take  $\hbar = c = 1$ .

<sup>3</sup>One says that elements of  $\mathbf{U}$  and of its tensor algebra are ‘conformally invariant’, while tensorializing by  $\mathbb{L}^r$  one obtains ‘conformal densities’ of weight  $r$ .

of  $U$  and  $U^\star$ , and also

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon &:= l \otimes w^{-1} = \varepsilon_{AB} z^A \wedge z^B \in Q^\star \equiv \wedge^2 U^\star, \\ \varepsilon^{-1} &\equiv l^{-1} \otimes w = \varepsilon^{AB} \zeta_A \wedge \zeta_B \in Q \equiv \wedge^2 U.\end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** In contrast to the usual 2-spinor formalism, no symplectic form is fixed. The 2-form  $\varepsilon$  is unique up to a phase factor which depends on the chosen 2-spinor basis, and determines isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^\flat : U &\rightarrow U^\star : u \mapsto u^\flat, \quad \langle u^\flat, v \rangle := \varepsilon(u, v) \Rightarrow (u^\flat)_B = \varepsilon_{AB} v^A, \\ \varepsilon^\sharp : U^\star &\rightarrow U : \lambda \mapsto \lambda^\sharp, \quad \langle \mu, \lambda^\sharp \rangle := \varepsilon^{-1}(\lambda, \mu) \Rightarrow (\lambda^\sharp)^B = \varepsilon^{AB} \lambda_A.\end{aligned}$$

If no confusion arises, we'll make the identification  $\varepsilon^\sharp \equiv \varepsilon^{-1}$ .

#### 1.4 2-spinors and Minkowski space

Though a normalized element  $\varepsilon \in Q^\star$  is unique only up to a phase factor, certain objects which can be expressed through it are natural geometric objects. The first example is the unity element in  $Q^\star \otimes \bar{Q}^\star$ , which can be written as  $\varepsilon \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}$ ; it can also be seen as a bilinear form  $g$  on  $U \otimes \bar{U}$ , given for decomposable elements by

$$g(p \otimes \bar{q}, r \otimes \bar{s}) = \varepsilon(p, r) \bar{\varepsilon}(\bar{q}, \bar{s}).$$

The fact that any  $\varepsilon$  is non-degenerate implies that  $g$  is non-degenerate too. In a normalized 2-spinor basis  $(\zeta_A)$  one writes  $w = w^{AA'} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{A'} \in U \otimes \bar{U}$ ,  $g_{AA'BB'} = \varepsilon_{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}_{A'B'}$  and<sup>4</sup>

$$g(w, w) = \varepsilon_{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}_{A'B'} w^{AA'} w^{BB'} = 2 \det w.$$

Next, consider the Hermitian subspace

$$H := U \bar{\vee} \bar{U} \subset U \otimes \bar{U}.$$

This is a 4-dimensional *real* vector space; for any given normalized basis  $(\zeta_A)$  of  $U$  consider, in particular, the *Pauli basis*  $(\tau_\lambda)$  of  $H$  associated with  $(\zeta_A)$ , namely

$$\tau_\lambda \equiv \tau_\lambda^{AA'} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{A'} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma_\lambda^{AA'} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{A'}, \quad \lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where  $(\sigma_\lambda^{AA'})$  denotes the  $\lambda$ -th Pauli matrix.<sup>5</sup>

The restriction of  $g$  to the Hermitian subspace  $H$  turns out to be a Lorentz metric with signature  $(+, -, -, -)$ . Actually, a Pauli basis is readily seen to be orthonormal, namely  $g_{\lambda\mu} := g(\tau_\lambda, \tau_\mu) = \eta_{\lambda\mu} := 2\delta_\lambda^0 \delta_\mu^0 - \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ .

It's not difficult to prove:

**Proposition 1.1** *An element  $w \in U \otimes \bar{U} = \mathbb{C} \otimes H$  is null, that is  $g(w, w) = 0$ , iff it is a decomposable tensor:  $w = u \otimes \bar{s}$ ,  $u, s \in U$ .*

<sup>4</sup>Note how  $\det w \equiv \det(w^{AA'})$  is intrinsically defined through  $\varepsilon$ , even if  $w$  is not an endomorphism.

<sup>5</sup> $\sigma_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

A null element in  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$  is also in  $\mathbf{H}$  iff it is of the form  $\pm u \otimes \bar{u}$ . Hence the *null cone*  $\mathbf{N} \subset \mathbf{H}$  is constituted exactly by such elements. Note how this fact yields a way of distinguish between time orientations: by convention, one chooses the *future* and *past* null-cones in  $\mathbf{H}$  to be, respectively,

$$\mathbf{N}^+ := \{u \otimes \bar{u}, u \in \mathbf{U}\}, \quad \mathbf{N}^- := \{-u \otimes \bar{u}, u \in \mathbf{U}\}.$$

**Proposition 1.2** *For each  $g$ -orthonormal positively oriented basis  $(\mathbf{e}_\lambda)$  of  $\mathbf{H}$ , such that  $\mathbf{e}_0$  is timelike and future-oriented, there exists a normalized 2-spinor basis  $(\zeta_A)$  whose associated Pauli basis  $(\tau_\lambda)$  coincides with  $(\mathbf{e}_\lambda)$ .*

**Remark.** From the above proposition it follows that any future-pointing timelike vector can be written as  $u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v}$ , for suitable  $u, v \in \mathbf{U}$ .

### 1.5 From 2-spinors to 4-spinors

Next observe that an element of  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$  can be seen as a linear map  $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ , while an element of  $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$  can be seen as a linear map  $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$ . Then one defines the linear map

$$\gamma : \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star) : y \mapsto \gamma(y) := \sqrt{2} (y, y^{\flat\star}) ,$$

$$\text{i.e. } \gamma(y)(u, \chi) = \sqrt{2} (y | \chi, u | y^{\flat}) ,$$

where  $y^{\flat} := g^{\flat}(y) \in \mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$  and  $y^{\flat\star} \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$  is the transposed tensor. In particular for a decomposable  $y = p \otimes \bar{q}$  one has

$$\tilde{\gamma}(p \otimes \bar{q})(u, \chi) = \sqrt{2} (\langle \chi, \bar{q} \rangle p, \langle p^{\flat}, u \rangle \bar{q}^{\flat}) .$$

**Proposition 1.3** *For all  $y, y' \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$  one has*

$$\gamma(y) \circ \gamma(y') + \gamma(y') \circ \gamma(y) = 2g(y, y') \mathbb{1} .$$

PROOF: It is sufficient to check the statement's formula for any couple of null i.e. decomposable elements in  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$ . Using the identity

$$\varepsilon(p, q) r^{\flat} + \varepsilon(q, r) p^{\flat} + \varepsilon(r, p) q^{\flat} = 0, \quad p, q, r \in \mathbf{U},$$

which is in turn easily checked, a straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma(p \otimes \bar{q}) \circ \gamma(r \otimes \bar{s}) + \gamma(r \otimes \bar{s}) \circ \gamma(p \otimes \bar{q})](u + \chi) &= \\ &= 2\varepsilon(p, r) \bar{\varepsilon}(\bar{q}, \bar{s})(u, \chi) = 2g(p \otimes \bar{q}, r \otimes \bar{s})(u, \chi) . \end{aligned}$$

□

Now one sees that  $\gamma$  is a *Clifford map* relatively to  $g$  (see also §4.1); thus one is led to regard

$$\mathbf{W} := \mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$$

as the space of Dirac spinors, decomposed into its Weyl subspaces. Actually, the restriction of  $\gamma$  to the Minkowski space  $\mathbf{H}$  turns out to be a Dirac map.

The 4-dimensional complex vector space  $\mathbf{W}$  is naturally endowed with a further structure: the obvious anti-isomorphism

$$\mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^\star : (u, \chi) \mapsto (\bar{\chi}, \bar{u}) .$$

Namely, if  $\psi = (u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W}$  then  $\bar{\psi} = (\bar{u}, \bar{\chi}) \in \overline{\mathbf{W}}$  can be identified with  $(\bar{\chi}, \bar{u}) \in \mathbf{W}^\star$ ; this is the so-called ‘Dirac adjoint’ of  $\psi$ . This operation can be seen as the “index lowering anti-isomorphism” related to the Hermitian product

$$k : \mathbf{W} \times \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \left( (u, \chi), (u', \chi') \right) \mapsto \langle \bar{\chi}, u' \rangle + \langle \chi', \bar{u} \rangle ,$$

which is obviously non-degenerate; its signature turns out to be  $(+ + - -)$ , as it can be seen in a “Dirac basis” (below).

Let  $(\zeta_\alpha)$  be a normalized basis of  $\mathbf{U}$ ; the *Weyl basis* of  $\mathbf{W}$  is defined to be the basis  $(\zeta_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , given by

$$(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) := (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, -\bar{z}^1, -\bar{z}^2) .$$

Above,  $\zeta_1$  is a simplified notation for  $(\zeta_1, 0)$ , and the like. Another important basis is the *Dirac basis*  $(\zeta'_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_1, \bar{z}^1) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_1 - \zeta_3) , & \zeta'_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_2, \bar{z}^2) \equiv (\zeta_2 - \zeta_4) , \\ \zeta'_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_1, -\bar{z}^1) \equiv (\zeta_1 + \zeta_3) , & \zeta'_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta_2, -\bar{z}^2) \equiv (\zeta_2 + \zeta_4) . \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\gamma_\lambda := \gamma(\tau_\lambda) \in \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$$

one recovers the usual Weyl and Dirac representations as the matrices  $(\gamma_\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , in the Weyl and Dirac bases respectively.

## 1.6 Further structures

Some other operations on 4-spinor space, commonly used in the literature, actually depend on particular choices or conventions. Similarly to the choice of a basis or of a gauge they are useful in certain arguments or calculations, but don’t need to be fixed in the theory’s foundations. I’ll describe the cases of a Hermitian form on  $\mathbf{U}$ , of *charge conjugation*, *parity* and *time reversal*; I’ll show the relations among these objects and how they are related to the notion of *observer*.

A Hermitian 2-form  $h$  on  $\mathbf{U}$  is an element in  $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \nabla \mathbf{U}^\star$ , hence it can be seen as an element in  $\mathbf{H}^*$ ; more precisely,  $\bar{h} \in \mathbf{H}^*$ . One says that  $h$  is *normalized* if it is non-degenerate, positive and  $g^\#(h) = h^{-1}$ ; the latter condition is equivalent to  $g(h, h) = 2$ . If  $h$  is normalized then it is necessarily a future-pointing timelike element in  $\mathbf{H}^*$ . For example, consider the Pauli basis  $(\tau_\lambda)$  determined by a normalized 2-spinor basis  $(\zeta_A)$ , and let  $(\mathfrak{t}^\lambda)$  be the dual basis; then  $\sqrt{2}\bar{\mathfrak{t}}^0 = \bar{z}^1 \otimes z^1 + \bar{z}^2 \otimes z^2$  is normalized; conversely, every positive-definite normalized Hermitian metric  $h$  can be expressed in the above form for some suitable normalized 2-spinor bases.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>6</sup>Similarly, negative-definite Hermitian metrics correspond to past-pointing timelike covectors. Hermitian metrics of mixed signature  $(1, -1)$  correspond to spacelike covectors; actually, such metrics can always be written as proportional to  $\sqrt{2}\bar{\mathfrak{t}}^3 = \bar{z}^1 \otimes z^1 - \bar{z}^2 \otimes z^2$ , in appropriate normalized 2-spinor bases.



The basic observation resulting from the above discussion is that the assignments of an ‘observer’ in  $\mathbf{H}$  and of a positive-definite Hermitian metric on  $\mathbf{U}$  are equivalent; actually, the two objects are nearly the same thing. In 4-spinor terms, the above equivalence is only slightly less obvious. If  $h$  is assigned, then it extends naturally to a Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $\mathbf{W}$ , which can be characterized by<sup>7</sup>

$$h(\psi, \phi) = k(\gamma_0 \psi, \phi) .$$

Charge conjugation depends on the choice of a normalized 2-form  $\omega = e^{it} \varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$ , and is defined as the anti-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}_\omega : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{W} : \psi \mapsto \mathcal{C}_\omega(\psi) \equiv \mathcal{C}(u, \chi) = (\omega^\#(\bar{\chi}), -\bar{\omega}^b(\bar{u})) = e^{-it} (\varepsilon^\#(\bar{\chi}), -\bar{\varepsilon}^b(\bar{u})) .$$

Thus  $\mathcal{C}_\omega = e^{-it} \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ . One also gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\omega \circ \mathcal{C}_\omega &= \mathbb{I}_\mathbf{W} , \\ \gamma_y \circ \mathcal{C}_\omega + \mathcal{C}_\omega \circ \gamma_y &= 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{C}_\omega \circ \gamma_y \circ \mathcal{C}_\omega = -\gamma_y , \quad y \in \mathbf{H} . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, parity is an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{W}$  dependent on the choice of an observer, while time-reversal is an anti-isomorphism dependent on the choice of an observer *and* of a normalized 2-form; they are defined by

$$\mathcal{P} := \gamma_0 \equiv \gamma(\tau_0) , \quad \mathcal{T}_\omega := \gamma_\eta \gamma_0 \mathcal{C}_\omega ,$$

where the chosen observer is expressed as  $\tau_0$  in a suitable Pauli basis, and  $\gamma_\eta$  is the canonical element of the Dirac algebra corresponding to the  $g$ -normalized volume form of  $\mathbf{H}$ , and expressed in a Pauli basis as  $\gamma_\eta = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3$  (see §4.1).

**Remark.** An observer, seen as a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbf{U}$ , also determines an isomorphism  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \equiv \text{End}(\mathbf{U})$ . Through it, one can view ‘world spinors’ as endomorphisms, thus recovering the algebraic structure for the Galileian treatment of spin [1].

## 1.7 2-spinor groups

The group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{S}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \subset \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$  has the natural subgroups

$$\text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) := \{K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) : \det K = 1\} , \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) = 3 ,$$

$$\text{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}) := \{K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) : |\det K| = 1\} , \quad \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}) = 7 .$$

The former is the group of all automorphisms of  $\mathbf{S}$  (of  $\mathbf{U}$ ) which leave any complex volume form invariant; the latter is the group of all automorphisms which leave any complex volume form invariant up to a phase factor, and thus it can be seen as the group which preserves the two-spinor structure. One has the Lie algebras

$$\mathcal{L}\text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \cong \{A \in \text{End}(\mathbf{U}) : \text{Tr } A = 0\} ,$$

$$\mathcal{L}\text{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}) \cong \{A \in \text{End}(\mathbf{U}) : \Re \text{Tr } A = 0\} = i\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathcal{L}\text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) .$$

---

<sup>7</sup>In the traditional notation,  $\gamma_\lambda^\dagger$  indicates the  $h$ -adjoint of  $\gamma_\lambda$ , and then depends on the chosen observer.

If  $h \in \mathbf{U}^\star \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{U}}^\star$  is a positive Hermitian metric then one sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U}, h) &:= \{K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) : K^\dagger = K^{-1}\} \subset \text{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}) , \\ \text{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h) &:= \{K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) : K^\dagger = K^{-1}, \det K = 1\} \subset \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) , \end{aligned}$$

where  $K^\dagger$  denotes the  $h$ -adjoint of  $K$ . One gets the Lie algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U}, h) &= \{A \in \text{End}(\mathbf{U}) : A + A^\dagger = 0\} = \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{L}\text{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h) , \\ \mathfrak{L}\text{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h) &= \{A \in \text{End}(\mathbf{U}) : A + A^\dagger = 0, \text{Tr } A = 0\} . \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that  $\text{End}(\mathbf{U})$  can be decomposed into the direct sum of the subspaces of all  $h$ -Hermitian and anti-Hermitian endomorphisms; the restriction of this decomposition to  $\mathfrak{L}\text{Sl}(\mathbf{U})$  gives then

$$\mathfrak{L}\text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathfrak{L}\text{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h) \oplus \mathbf{i}\mathfrak{L}\text{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h) .$$

When a 2-spinor basis is fixed, then one gets group isomorphisms  $\text{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\text{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h) \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$  and the like.

## 1.8 2-spinor groups and Lorentz group

Up to an obvious transposition we can make the identification

$$\text{End}(\mathbf{U}) \otimes \text{End}(\bar{\mathbf{U}}) \cong \text{End}(\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}) .$$

We then write<sup>8</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} (K \otimes \bar{H})^{AA'}_{BB'} &= K^A_B \bar{H}^{A'}_{B'} , \quad K \in \text{End}(\mathbf{U}) , \\ (K \otimes \bar{H})^\lambda_\mu &= K^A_B \bar{H}^{A'}_{B'} \tau^\lambda_{AA'} \tau_\mu^{BB'} . \end{aligned}$$

The group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \times \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$  can be identified with the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}})$  constituted of all elements of the type  $K \otimes \bar{H}$  with  $K, H \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{U}$ . This subgroup is sometimes written as  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \otimes \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$ , which of course must not be intended as a true tensor product. It has the proper subgroup  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$ , constituted of all automorphisms of the type  $K \otimes \bar{K}$ ,  $K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U})$ .

**Proposition 1.4**  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$  preserves the splitting  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{i}\mathbf{H}$  and the causal structure of  $\mathbf{H}$ .

PROOF: There exist bases of  $\mathbf{H}$  composed of isotropic elements; these are also complex bases of isotropic elements of  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ . Then  $A \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}})$  preserves the splitting and the causal structure iff it sends any element of the form  $u \otimes \bar{u}$  in an element of the form  $v \otimes \bar{v}$ .  $\square$

---

<sup>8</sup>The elements of the dual Pauli basis can be written as  $\mathbf{t}^\lambda = \tau^\lambda_{AA'} \mathbf{z}^A \otimes \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{A'}$  with  $\tau^\lambda_{AA'} = g^{\lambda\mu} \varepsilon^{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} \tau_\mu^{BB'}$ .

Accordingly, on sets

$$\mathrm{Sl}^c(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Sl}^c(\bar{\mathbf{U}}) = \mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Sl}(\bar{\mathbf{U}}) := \{K \otimes \bar{K} : K \in \mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U})\} .$$

Since  $K$  preserves  $\varepsilon$  up to a phase factor,  $K \otimes \bar{K}$  preserves  $\varepsilon \otimes \bar{\varepsilon} \equiv g$ ; moreover it is immediate to check that any Pauli basis is transformed to another Pauli basis. From proposition 1.2 it then follows that  $\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Sl}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$  restricted to  $\mathbf{H}$  coincides with the special orthochronous Lorentz group  $\mathrm{Lor}_+^\dagger(\mathbf{H}, g)$ . Actually, the epimorphism  $\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lor}_+^\dagger(\mathbf{H}, g)$  turns out to be 2-to-1.

The Lie algebra of  $\mathrm{Sl}(\mathbf{U}) \bar{\vee} \mathrm{Sl}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$  is the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{U}) \otimes \mathrm{End}(\bar{\mathbf{U}})$  constituted by all elements which can be written in the form

$$A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\bar{\mathbf{U}}} + \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \bar{A} , \quad A \in \mathfrak{LSl}(\mathbf{U}) .$$

One easily checks that these restrict to endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{H}$ , actually they constitute the vector space of all  $g$ -antisymmetric endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{H}$  namely the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g)$ . Let a normalized 2-spinor basis be fixed; then the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{LSl}(\mathbf{U}) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g)$ , taking into account the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g) \leftrightarrow \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}^*$  induced by the Lorentz metric  $g$ , associates the basis  $(\nu_i; \check{\nu}_i)$  with the basis  $(\rho_i; \check{\rho}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , where<sup>9</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_i &:= -i \check{\nu}_i & \check{\nu}_i &:= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{iB}^A \zeta_A \otimes z^B , \\ \rho_i &:= -*\check{\rho}_i , & \check{\rho}_i &:= 2t^0 \wedge t^i . \end{aligned}$$

A Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $\mathbf{U}$ , besides the above said (§1.7) splitting of  $\mathfrak{LSl}(\mathbf{U})$ , also determines an “observer”  $\tau_0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{h}^\#$ , hence also the splitting of  $\mathfrak{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g)$  into “infinitesimal rotations” and “infinitesimal boosts” as

$$\mathfrak{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g) = \mathfrak{Lor}_R(\mathbf{H}, g, \tau_0) \oplus \mathfrak{Lor}_B(\mathbf{H}, g, \tau_0) .$$

If one chooses a normalized 2-spinor basis such that the element  $\tau_0$  of the corresponding Pauli basis of  $\mathbf{H}$  coincides with the given observer, then the bases  $(\nu_i; \check{\nu}_i)$  and  $(\rho_i; \check{\rho}_i)$  turn out to be adapted to the respective splittings.

**Remark.** On  $\mathfrak{Lor}(\mathbf{H}, g)$  one has the pseudo-metric induced by  $g$ ; moreover, consider the real symmetric 2-form

$$K_{\mathfrak{LSl}} : \mathfrak{LSl}(\mathbf{U}) \times \mathfrak{LSl}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (A, B) \mapsto 2 \Re \mathrm{Tr}(A \circ B) .$$

Then it turns out that the bases  $(\nu_i; \check{\nu}_i)$  and  $(\rho_i; \check{\rho}_i)$  are orthonormal, and that the signature of both metrics is  $(-, -, -, +, +, +)$ . So, the splittings of the two algebras determined by the choice of an “observer” can’t be into arbitrary subspaces: the two components must be mutually orthogonal subspaces of opposite signature.

## 2 Two-spinor bundles

### 2.1 Two-spinor connections

Consider any real manifold  $\mathbf{M}$  and a vector bundle  $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  with complex 2-dimensional fibres. Denote base manifold coordinates as  $(x^a)$ ; choose a local frame

---

<sup>9</sup>Here again  $(\sigma_{iB}^A)$  denotes the  $i$ -th Pauli matrix.  $(t^\lambda)$  is the dual Pauli basis. Also note that the Hodge isomorphism restricts to a complex structure on  $\wedge^2 \mathbf{H}^*$ .

$(\xi_A)$  of  $\mathbf{S}$ , determining linear fibre coordinates  $(x^A)$ . According to the constructions of the previous sections, one now has the bundles  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{L}$ ,  $\mathbf{U}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}$  over  $\mathbf{M}$ , with smooth natural structures; the frame  $(\xi_A)$  yields the frames  $\varepsilon$ ,  $l$ ,  $(\zeta_A)$  and  $(\tau_\lambda)$ , respectively. Moreover for any rational number  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  one has the semi-vector bundle  $\mathbb{L}^r$ .

Consider an arbitrary  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear connection  $\mathbf{F}$  on  $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ , called a *2-spinor connection*. In the fibred coordinates  $(x^a, x^A)$   $\mathbf{F}$  is expressed by the coefficients  $\mathbf{F}_{aB}^A : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , namely the covariant derivative of a section  $s : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  is expressed as

$$\nabla s = (\partial_a s^A - \mathbf{F}_{aB}^A s^B) dx^a \otimes \xi_A.$$

The rule  $\nabla \bar{s} = \overline{\nabla s}$  yields a connection  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}$  on  $\bar{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ , whose coefficients are given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{aB'}^{A'} = \overline{\mathbf{F}_{aB}^A}.$$

Actually,  $\mathbf{F}$  determines linear connections on each of the above said induced vector bundles over  $\mathbf{M}$  (in particular, it is easy to see that any  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear connection on a complex vector bundle determines a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear connection on the induced Hermitian tensor bundle). Denote by  $2G$  and  $2Y$  the connections induced on  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  (this notation makes sense because the fibres are 1-dimensional), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla l &= -2G_a dx^a \otimes l, \quad \nabla \varepsilon = 2iY_a dx^a \otimes \varepsilon, \\ \nabla w^{-1} &\equiv \nabla(l^{-1} \otimes \varepsilon) = 2(G_a + iY_a) dx^a \otimes l^{-1} \otimes \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and the like. By direct calculation we find

$$\begin{aligned} G_a &= \Re(\tfrac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}_{aA}^A) = \tfrac{1}{4}(\mathbf{F}_{aA}^A + \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{aA'}^{A'}), \\ Y_a &= \Im(\tfrac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}_{aA}^A) = \tfrac{1}{4i}(\mathbf{F}_{aA}^A - \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{aA'}^{A'}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since  $Y_a$  are real the induced linear connection on  $\mathbf{Q}$  is Hermitian (preserves its natural Hermitian structure).

The coefficients of the connection  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$  induced on  $\mathbf{U}$  are given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{aB}^A = \mathbf{F}_{aB}^A - G_a \delta_B^A.$$

Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  be the connection induced on  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ , and  $\Gamma'$  the connection induced on  $\mathbf{S} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{S}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma'_{aBB'}^{AA'} &= \mathbf{F}_{aB}^A \delta_{B'}^{A'} + \delta_B^A \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{aB'}^{A'}, \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{aBB'}^{AA'} &= \mathbf{F}_{aB}^A \delta_{B'}^{A'} + \delta_B^A \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{aB'}^{A'} - 2G_a \delta_B^A \delta_{B'}^{A'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the above coefficients are real,  $\Gamma'$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  turn out to be reducible to real connections on  $\mathbf{S} \nabla \bar{\mathbf{S}}$  and  $\mathbf{H} \equiv \mathbf{U} \nabla \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ , respectively. Moreover

**Proposition 2.1** *The connection  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  induced on  $\mathbf{H}$  by any 2-spinor connection is metric, namely  $\nabla[\tilde{\Gamma}]g = 0$ .*

PROOF: The Lorentz metric  $g$  of  $\mathbf{H}$  can be identified with the identity of the bundle  $\mathbb{L}^{-2}$ , namely it is the canonical section  $1 \equiv \varepsilon^{-1} \otimes \varepsilon : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-2} \otimes \mathbb{L}^2 \equiv \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , which obviously has vanishing covariant derivative.  $\square$

Because of metricity the coefficients  $\tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\lambda}{}_{\mu}$  of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  in the frame  $(\tau_\lambda)$  are antisymmetric and traceless, namely

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\lambda\mu} + \tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\mu\lambda} = 0, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\lambda}{}_{\lambda} = 0$$

(the second formula says  $\nabla\eta = 0$ , where  $\eta$  is the  $g$ -normalized volume form of  $\mathbf{H}$ ).

The above relations between  $\mathbb{F}$  and the induced connections can be inverted as follows:

**Proposition 2.2** *One has*

$$\mathbb{F}_a^A{}_B = (-G_a + iY_a)\delta^A{}_B + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma'_a{}^{AA'}{}_{BA'} = (G_a + iY_a)\delta^A{}_B + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Gamma}_a{}^{AA'}{}_{BA'}.$$

In 4-spinor formalism the above relation reads

$$\mathbb{F}_a^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = (G_a + iY_a)\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\lambda\mu}(\gamma_\lambda\gamma_\mu)^{\alpha}{}_{\beta},$$

where now  $\mathbb{F}_a^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$  stands for the coefficients of the naturally induced connection  $(\mathbb{F}, \bar{\mathbb{F}}^\star)$  on  $\mathbf{W} \equiv \mathbf{U} \oplus_M \bar{\mathbf{U}}^\star$  in any 4-spinor frame,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 4$ .

A similar relation holds among the curvature tensors, namely

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab}^A{}_B &= 2(dG - i dY)_{ab}\delta^A{}_B + \frac{1}{2}R'_{ab}{}^{AA'}{}_{BA'} = \\ &= -2(dG + i dY)_{ab}\delta^A{}_B + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}_{ab}{}^{AA'}{}_{BA'}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R, R'$  and  $\tilde{R}$  are the curvature tensors of  $\mathbb{F}, \Gamma'$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ , respectively.

**Remark.** Under a local gauge transformation  $\mathbf{K} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  the above coefficients transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_a^A{}_B &\mapsto (\mathbf{K}^{-1})_C^A \mathbf{K}_B^D \mathbb{F}_a^C{}_D - (\mathbf{K}^{-1})_C^A \partial_a \mathbf{K}_B^C, \\ G_a &\mapsto G_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \log |\det \mathbf{K}|, \quad Y_a \mapsto Y_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \arg \det \mathbf{K}, \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\lambda}{}_{\mu} &\mapsto (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^{-1})_{\nu}^{\lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\mu}^{\rho} \tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\nu}{}_{\rho} - (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^{-1})_{\nu}^{\lambda} \partial_a \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\mu}^{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Two-spinor tetrad

Henceforth I'll assume that  $\mathbf{M}$  is a real 4-dimensional manifold. Consider a linear morphism

$$\Theta : \text{T}\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{S} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H},$$

namely a section

$$\Theta : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H} \otimes \text{T}^*\mathbf{M}$$

(all tensor products are over  $\mathbf{M}$ ). Its coordinate expression is

$$\Theta = \Theta_a^{\lambda} \tau_{\lambda} \otimes dx^a = \Theta_a^{AA'} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{A'} \otimes dx^a, \quad \Theta_a^{\lambda}, \Theta_a^{AA'} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}.$$

We'll assume that  $\Theta$  is non-degenerate and valued in the Hermitian subspace  $\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{S} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{S}}$ ; then  $\Theta$  can be viewed as a 'scaled' *tetrad* (or *soldering form*, or *vierbein*); the coefficients  $\Theta_a^{\lambda}$  are real (i.e. valued in  $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{L}$ ) while the coefficients  $\Theta_a^{AA'}$  are Hermitian, i.e.  $\bar{\Theta}_a^{AA'} = \Theta_a^{AA'}$ .

**Remark.** Most of what follows actually still holds in the case of a degenerate tetrad. The inverse  $\Theta^{-1}$  is not used. This will give rise to a more natural theory, in which all field equations are of the first order. Possible degeneracy might also have a physical meaning, as discussed in [4].

Through a tetrad, the geometric structure of the fibres of  $\mathbf{H}$  is carried to a similar, scaled structure on the fibres of  $\mathbf{TM}$ . It will then be convenient, from now on, to distinguish by a tilde the objects defined on  $\mathbf{H}$ , so I'll denote by  $\tilde{g}$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  the Lorentz metric, the  $\tilde{g}$ -normalized volume form and the Dirac map of  $\mathbf{H}$ , and set

$$\begin{aligned} g &:= \Theta^* \tilde{g} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^2 \otimes \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} , \\ \eta &:= \Theta^* \tilde{\eta} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} , \\ \gamma &:= \tilde{\gamma} \circ \Theta : \mathbf{TM} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{End}(\mathbf{W}) , \end{aligned}$$

which have the coordinate expressions

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \eta_{\lambda\mu} \Theta_a^\lambda \Theta_b^\mu dx^a \otimes dx^b = \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{A'B'} \Theta_a^{AA'} \Theta_b^{BB'} dx^a \otimes dx^b , \\ \eta &= \det(\Theta) dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 , \\ \gamma &= \sqrt{2} \Theta_a^{AA'} (\zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{A'} + \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{A'B'} \bar{z}^{B'} \otimes z^B) \otimes dx^a . \end{aligned}$$

The above objects turn out to be a Lorentz metric, the corresponding volume form and a Clifford map. Moreover

$$\Theta_\mu^b := \Theta_a^\lambda \eta_{\lambda\mu} g^{ab} = (\Theta^{-1})_\mu^b : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-1} , \quad g^{ab} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-2} .$$

A non-degenerate tetrad, together with a two-spinor frame, yields mutually dual orthonormal frames  $(\Theta_\lambda)$  of  $\mathbb{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{TM}$  and  $(\check{\Theta}^\lambda)$  of  $\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M}$ , given by

$$\Theta_\lambda := \Theta^{-1}(\tau_\lambda) = \Theta_\lambda^a \partial x_a , \quad \check{\Theta}^\lambda := \Theta^*(t^\lambda) = \Theta_a^\lambda dx^a .$$

We also write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \gamma_\lambda \otimes \check{\Theta}^\lambda = \gamma_a \otimes dx^a , \quad \gamma_\lambda := \gamma(\Theta_\lambda) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{W}) , \\ \gamma_a &:= \gamma(\partial x_a) = \Theta_a^\lambda \gamma_\lambda : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{End}(\mathbf{W}) . \end{aligned}$$

### 2.3 Cotetrad

One defines a natural ‘exterior’ product of elements in the fibres of  $\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M}$  by requiring that, for decomposable tensors, it is given by

$$(y_1 \otimes \alpha_1) \wedge (y_2 \otimes \alpha_2) = (y_1 \wedge y_2) \otimes (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) , \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} , \quad u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{H} .$$

We'll consider the exterior products

$$\wedge^q \Theta : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^q \otimes \wedge^q \mathbf{H} \otimes \wedge^q \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} , \quad q = 1, 2, 3, 4 .$$

In particular, one has  $\wedge^2 \Theta \equiv \Theta \wedge \Theta$ , that is

$$\wedge^2 \Theta(u \wedge v) = \Theta(u) \wedge \Theta(v) \Rightarrow \wedge^2 \Theta = \Theta_a^\lambda \Theta_b^\mu (\tau_\lambda \wedge \tau_\mu) \otimes (dx^a \wedge dx^b) .$$

Next, consider the linear map over  $\mathbf{M}$

$$\check{\Theta} : (\mathbf{S} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{S}}) \otimes \mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{M}$$

defined by

$$\check{\Theta}(\xi) := \frac{1}{3!} \tilde{\eta} \mid (\xi \wedge \Theta \wedge \Theta \wedge \Theta) = \frac{1}{3!} \tilde{\eta} \mid [\xi \wedge (\wedge^3 \Theta)] .$$

Its coordinate expression is

$$\check{\Theta}(\xi) = \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a \xi_a^\lambda d^4x := \frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Theta_b^\mu \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho \xi_a^\lambda d^4x ,$$

$$\xi = \xi_a^\lambda \tau_\lambda \otimes dx^a , \quad \xi_a^\lambda : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L} .$$

Now  $\check{\Theta}$  can be seen as a bilinear map  $(\mathbf{S} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{S}}) \times \mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{M}$  over  $\mathbf{M}$ , or also as a linear map

$$\mathbf{S} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes \mathbf{T}\mathbf{M} \otimes \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{M}$$

over  $\mathbf{M}$ . Using the latter point of view, if  $\Theta$  is non-degenerate then one has

$$\check{\Theta} = \Theta^{-1} \otimes \eta .$$

Namely, in general one may regard  $\check{\Theta}$ , which is called the *co-tetrad*, as a kind of ‘pseudo-inverse’ of  $\Theta$ , defined even if  $\Theta$  is degenerate.

The above construction can be easily generalized, for  $p = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ , to a map

$$\check{\Theta}^{(p)} : \wedge^p(\mathbf{S} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{S}}) \otimes (\wedge^p \mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^4 \otimes \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{M} .$$

We’ll be concerned with  $\check{\Theta}^{(1)} = \check{\Theta}$  and  $\check{\Theta}^{(2)}$ . Note that  $\check{\Theta}^{(0)} = \eta$ .

## 2.4 Tetrad and connections

If  $\mathbb{F}$  is a complex-linear connection on  $\mathbf{S}$ , and  $G$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  are the induced connections on  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$ , then a non-degenerate tetrad  $\Theta : \mathbf{T}\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H}$  yields a unique connection  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{M}$ , characterized by the condition

$$\nabla[\Gamma \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}]\Theta = 0 .$$

Moreover  $\Gamma$  is metric, i.e.  $\nabla[\Gamma]g = 0$ . Denoting by  $\Gamma_{a\mu}^\lambda$  the coefficients of  $\Gamma$  in the frame  $\Theta'_\lambda \equiv \Theta^{-1}(l \otimes \tau_\lambda)$  one obtains

$$\Gamma_{a\mu}^\lambda = \tilde{\Gamma}_{a\mu}^\lambda + 2G_a \delta_\mu^\lambda .$$

The curvature tensors of  $\Gamma$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  are related by  $R_{ab\mu}^\lambda = \tilde{R}_{ab\mu}^\lambda$ , or

$$R_{abd}^c = \tilde{R}_{ab\mu}^\lambda \Theta_\lambda^c \Theta_d^\mu .$$

Hence the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ad} &= R_{abd}^b = \tilde{R}_{ab\mu}^\lambda \Theta_\lambda^b \Theta_d^\mu , \\ R_a^a &= \tilde{R}_{ab}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_\lambda^b \Theta_\mu^a . \end{aligned}$$

In general, the connection  $\Gamma$  will have non-vanishing torsion,<sup>10</sup> which can be expressed<sup>11</sup> as

$$\Theta_c^\lambda T_{ab}^c = \partial_{[a} \Theta_{b]}^\lambda + \Theta_{[a}^\mu \tilde{\Gamma}_{b]\mu}^\lambda + 2 \Theta_{[a}^\lambda G_{b]} .$$

**Remark.** The torsion can be seen as the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket

$$\tilde{T} := T] \Theta = [\Gamma', \Theta] : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} \otimes_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{H}' ,$$

where  $\mathbf{H}' = \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbf{H}$ ,  $\Gamma' : \mathbf{H}' \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{H}'$  is the induced connection on  $\mathbf{H}' \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ , and  $\Theta$  is seen as a vertical-valued form  $\Theta : \mathbf{H}' \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{H}'$ .

## 2.5 The Dirac operator

Given a tetrad and a two-spinor connection, one introduces the Dirac operator acting on sections  $\psi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W}$ .

Writing  $\tilde{\gamma}^\# : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \otimes \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$ ,  $\nabla \psi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} \otimes_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{W}$ , one has

$$\tilde{\gamma}^\# \nabla \psi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{W} ,$$

where contraction in  $\mathbf{W}$  is understood. Next, one contracts the factors  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $\mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M}$  above via

$$\check{\Theta} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^3 \otimes \mathbf{H}^* \otimes \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M} \otimes \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} ,$$

obtaining

$$\check{\nabla} \psi := \langle \check{\Theta}, \tilde{\gamma}^\# \nabla \psi \rangle : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W} \otimes \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} ,$$

which has the coordinate expression

$$\check{\nabla} \psi = \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a \left( \sigma^{\lambda A A'} \nabla_a \chi_{A'} \zeta_A , \sigma^{\lambda}_{AA'} \nabla_a u^A \bar{z}^{A'} \right) \otimes d^4 x .$$

This definition works even if  $\Theta$  were degenerate; in the non-degenerate case one simply has  $\check{\nabla} \psi = \nabla \psi \otimes \eta$ .

## 3 Two-spinors and field theories

### 3.1 The fields

In this section I'll present a “minimal geometric data” field theory: actually, the unique “geometric datum” is a vector bundle  $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  with complex 2-dimensional fibres and real 4-dimensional base manifold. All other bundles and fixed geometric objects are determined just by this datum through functorial constructions, as we saw in the previous sections; no further background structure is assumed. Any considered bundle section which is not functorially fixed by our geometric datum is a field. In this way one obtains a field theory which turns out to be essentially equivalent to a classical theory of Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields.

The fields are taken to be the tetrad  $\Theta$ , the 2-spinor connection  $\mathbb{F}$ , the electromagnetic field  $F$  and the electron field  $\psi$ . The gravitational field is represented by

<sup>10</sup>This is the tensor field  $T : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M}$  defined by  $T(u, v) = \nabla_u v - \nabla_v u - [u, v]$ , where  $u, v : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{T} \mathbf{M}$  are any two vector fields, and has the coordinate expression  $T_{ab}^c = -\Gamma_{ab}^c + \Gamma_{ba}^c$ .

<sup>11</sup>Taking into account  $0 = \nabla_a \Theta_b^\lambda = \partial_a \Theta_b^\lambda - \Gamma_{a\mu}^\lambda \Theta_b^\mu + \Gamma_{ab}^c \Theta_c^\lambda$ .



$\Theta$  (which can be viewed as a ‘square root’ of the metric) and the traceless part of  $\mathbb{F}$ , namely  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ , seen as the gravitational part of the connection. If  $\Theta$  is non-degenerate one obtains, as in the standard metric-affine approach [10, 11, 13, 8], essentially the Einstein equation and the equation for torsion; the metricity of the spacetime connection is a further consequence. But note that the theory is non-singular also in the degenerate case. The connection  $G$  induced on  $\mathbb{L}$  will be assumed to have vanishing curvature,  $dG = 0$ , so that one can always find local charts such that  $G_a = 0$ ; this amounts to gauging away the conformal (‘dilaton’) symmetry. Coupling constants will arise as covariantly constant sections of  $\mathbb{L}$ , which now becomes just a vector space.

The Dirac field is a section

$$\psi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W} := \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes (\mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star) ,$$

assumed to represent a semiclassical particle with one-half spin, mass  $m \in \mathbb{L}^{-1}$  and charge  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The electromagnetic potential can be thought of as the Hermitian connection  $Y$  on  $\wedge^2 \mathbf{U}$  determined by  $\mathbb{F}$ , whose coefficients are indicated as  $iY_a$ ; locally one writes

$$Y_a \equiv q A_a ,$$

where  $A : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M}$  is a local 1-form.

The electromagnetic field is represented by a spinor field

$$\tilde{F} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-2} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}^*$$

which, via  $\Theta$ , determines the 2-form  $F := \Theta^* \tilde{F} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M}$ . The relation between  $Y$  and  $F$  will follow as one of the field equations; note how this setting allows a first-order linear Lagrangian and non-singularity in the degenerate case also for the electromagnetic sector.

The total Lagrangian and the Euler-Lagrange operator will be the sum of a gravitational, an electromagnetic and a Dirac term

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_{\text{em}} + \mathcal{L}_D , \quad \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_g + \mathcal{E}_{\text{em}} + \mathcal{E}_D .$$

Observe that all Lagrangian 4-forms are defined in terms of the cotetrad  $\check{\Theta}$ , while a direct translation of the standard formulation in terms of our fields would force one to use  $\Theta^{-1}$ , resulting in a less simple and natural theory.

### 3.2 Gravitational Lagrangian

The tetrad  $\Theta$  and the curvature tensor  $\tilde{R}$  of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  can be assembled into a 4-form  $\mathcal{L}_g$  which, in the non-degenerate case, turns out to be the usual gravitational Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L}_g := \frac{1}{4\mathbb{k}} \check{\Theta}^{(2)}(\tilde{R}^\#) = \frac{1}{8\mathbb{k}} \tilde{\eta} \mid (\tilde{R}^\# \wedge \Theta \wedge \Theta) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} ,$$

where  $\tilde{R}^\# : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}$  is the curvature tensor of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  with one index raised via  $\tilde{g}$ , and  $\mathbb{k} \in \mathbb{L}^2$  is Newton’s gravitational constant. Note how this is necessary in

order to obtain a true (non-scaled) 4-form on  $\mathbf{M}$  and the correct coupling with the spinor field. One has the coordinate expression  $\mathcal{L}_g = \ell_g d^4x$  with

$$\ell_g = \frac{1}{8\mathbb{K}} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{abcd} \tilde{R}_{ab}{}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho = \frac{1}{2\mathbb{K}} R \det \Theta ,$$

where  $R$  is the scalar curvature and the last equality holds if  $\Theta$  is non-degenerate.

A calculation gives the  $\Theta$ - and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -components of the gravitational part  $\mathcal{E}_g$  of the Euler-Lagrange operator:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_g)_\nu^c &= \frac{1}{4\mathbb{K}} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{abcd} R_{ab}{}^{\lambda\mu} \theta_d^\rho , \\ (\mathcal{E}_g)_{\lambda\mu}^a &= \frac{1}{2\mathbb{K}} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{abcd} (\partial_b \Theta_c^\nu + \Theta_b^\sigma \tilde{\Gamma}_{c\sigma}^\nu) \Theta_d^\rho . \end{aligned}$$

In the non-degenerate case these are essentially the Einstein tensor and the torsion of the spacetime connection, respectively. The first, in particular, can be written

$$(\mathcal{E}_g)_\nu^c = \frac{1}{4\mathbb{K}} \Theta_{[\lambda}^a \Theta_\mu^b \Theta_{\nu]}^c \det \Theta = \frac{1}{\mathbb{K}} (R_{ab}{}^{bc} - \frac{1}{2} R_{db}{}^{bd} \delta_a^c) \Theta_\nu^a \det \Theta .$$

The  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -component of  $\mathcal{E}_g$  can be expressed in terms of the torsion as

$$(\mathcal{E}_g)_{\lambda\mu}^a = \frac{1}{4\mathbb{K}} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{abcd} T_{bc}^e \Theta_e^\nu \Theta_d^\rho .$$

### 3.3 Electromagnetic Lagrangian

The electromagnetic potential and the Maxwell field will be considered independent fields. The former is represented by a local section  $A : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow T^*\mathbf{M}$ , related to the connection  $Y$  induced by  $F$  on  $\wedge^2\mathbf{U}$  by the relation  $Y = q A$ . The Maxwell field is a section  $\tilde{F} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-2} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}^*$ , written in coordinates as  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_{\lambda\mu} \mathbf{t}^\lambda \otimes \mathbf{t}^\mu$ . The e.m. Lagrangian density is defined to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{em}} = \ell_{\text{em}} d^4x = \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \Theta^{(2)}(dA \otimes \tilde{F}) + \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{F} \cdot \tilde{F}) \right] \eta ,$$

with coordinate expression

$$\ell_{\text{em}} = -\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \partial_a A_b \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} \det \Theta .$$

In the non-degenerate case, this turns out to be essentially the Lagrangian used in the ADM formalism.

Since  $\tilde{F}$  does not appear in the other terms of the total Lagrangian, the  $\tilde{F}$ -component of the field equations is immediately seen to yield

$$-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \partial_a A_b \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho + \tilde{F}_{\lambda\mu} \det \Theta = 0 ,$$

which in the non-degenerate case gives

$$F := \Theta^* \tilde{F} = 2 dA \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{em}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^2 \eta .$$

The  $A$ -component of the Euler-Lagrange operator is

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_{\text{em}})^a &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} (\partial_b \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho + 2 \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu} \partial_b \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} (d*F)_{bcd} . \end{aligned}$$

The  $\Theta$ -component is

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\text{em}})^c_\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \partial_a A_b \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu} \Theta_d^\rho + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}^2 \check{\Theta}_\nu^c ,$$

which in the non-degenerate case becomes essentially the usual Maxwell stress-energy tensor

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\text{em}})^c_\nu = (F_{ab} F^{ac} - \frac{1}{4} F^2 \delta_b^c) \check{\Theta}_\nu^b .$$

### 3.4 Dirac Lagrangian

The Dirac spinor field and its ‘Dirac adjoint’ are sections

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= (u, \chi) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W} = \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes (\mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star) , \\ \bar{\psi} &= (\bar{\chi}, \bar{u}) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes (\mathbf{U}^\star \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}) = \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star . \end{aligned}$$

In coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u^A \zeta_A , \quad \chi = \chi_{A'} \bar{z}^{A'} , \quad u^A, \chi_{A'} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-3/2} \\ \langle \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle &= (\bar{u}^{A'} \chi_{A'} + \bar{\chi}_A u^A) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-3} . \end{aligned}$$

The Dirac operator (§2.5) yields a section

$$\check{\nabla} \psi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{3/2} \otimes \mathbf{W} \otimes \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} ,$$

so that

$$\langle \bar{\psi}, \check{\nabla} \psi \rangle : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} .$$

Now we introduce the scalar density

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \frac{i}{2} (\langle \bar{\psi}, \check{\nabla} \psi \rangle - \langle \check{\nabla} \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle) - m \langle \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle \eta : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \wedge^4 \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{M} ,$$

where  $\check{\nabla} \bar{\psi} := \overline{\check{\nabla} \psi}$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{L}^{-1}$  is the described particle’s mass. This is a version of the Dirac Lagrangian which remains non-singular when  $\Theta$  is degenerate. In the non-degenerate case one also has

$$\mathcal{L}_D = [\frac{i}{2} (\langle \bar{\psi}, \check{\nabla} \psi \rangle - \langle \check{\nabla} \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle) - m \langle \bar{\psi}, \psi \rangle] \eta ;$$

in 2-spinor terms this reads

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \check{\Theta} \left( \nabla_a u \otimes \bar{u} - u \otimes \nabla_a \bar{u} + \tilde{g}^\# (\bar{\chi} \otimes \nabla \chi - \nabla \bar{\chi} \otimes \chi) \right) - m \left( \langle \chi, \bar{u} \rangle + \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle \right) \eta ,$$

with the coordinate expression

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_D &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \check{\Theta}_{AA'}^a \left( \nabla_a u^A \bar{u}^{A'} - u^A \nabla_a \bar{u}^{A'} + \varepsilon^{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} (\bar{\chi}_B \nabla_a \chi_{B'} - \nabla_a \bar{\chi}_B \chi_{B'}) \right) \\ &\quad - m (\bar{\chi}_A u^A + \chi_{A'} \bar{u}^{A'}) \det \Theta . \end{aligned}$$

Next we compute the Euler-Lagrange operator  $\mathcal{E}_D$ . The  $\bar{u}$ -component is

$$(\mathcal{E}_D)_{A'} = \sqrt{2} i \check{\Theta}_{AA'}^a \nabla_a u^A - m \chi_{A'} \det \Theta + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} T_{AA'} u^A ,$$

where  $T_{AA'} := \check{\Theta}_{AA'}^a T_{ab}^b$  is used for replacing the term with  $\partial_a \Theta_b^\mu$  (see §2.4).

The  $\bar{\chi}$ -component is

$$(\mathcal{E}_D)^A = \sqrt{2} i \check{\Theta}^{aAA'} \nabla_a \chi_{A'} - m u^A \det \Theta + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} T^{AA'} \chi_{A'} ,$$

with  $\check{\Theta}^{aAA'} := \check{\Theta}_{BB'}^a \varepsilon^{BA} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'A'}$  and  $T^{AA'} := \varepsilon^{BA} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'A'} T_{BB'}$ .

The  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -component is

$$(\mathcal{E}_D)_{\lambda\mu}^a = \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}} [(\check{\Theta}_{AC'}^a \tau_{[\lambda}^{DC'} \tau_{\mu]DA'} - \check{\Theta}_{CA'}^a \tau_{[\lambda}^{CD'} \tau_{\mu]AD'}) u^A \bar{u}^{A'} + (\check{\Theta}^{aBC'} \tau_{[\lambda}^{DB'} \tau_{\mu]DC'} - \check{\Theta}^{aCB'} \tau_{[\lambda}^{BD'} \tau_{\mu]CD'}) \bar{\chi}_B \chi_{B'}] .$$

The  $\Theta$ -component is

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_D)_\nu^c &= \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Theta_b^\mu \Theta_d^\rho \left[ \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \nabla_a u^A \bar{u}^{A'} - u^A \nabla_a \bar{u}^{A'} + \varepsilon^{BA} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'A'} (\bar{\chi}_B \nabla_a \chi_{B'} - \nabla_a \bar{\chi}_B \chi_{B'}) \right) \tau_{AA'}^\lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{3!} m (\bar{\chi}_A u^A + \chi_{A'} \bar{u}^{A'}) \Theta_a^\lambda \right] = \\ &= \frac{i}{4} \varepsilon^{abcd} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Theta_b^\mu \Theta_d^\rho \left( \bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^\lambda \nabla_a \psi - \bar{\tilde{\gamma}}^\lambda \nabla_a \bar{\psi} \psi \right) - m \bar{\psi} \psi \check{\Theta}_\nu^c . \end{aligned}$$

The  $A$ -component is simply

$$(\mathcal{E}_D)^a = \sqrt{2} q \check{\Theta}_{AA'}^a \left( u^A \bar{u}^{A'} + \varepsilon^{BA} \bar{\varepsilon}^{B'A'} \bar{\chi}_B \chi_{B'} \right) = q \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a (\bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^\lambda \psi) .$$

### 3.5 Field equations

Having calculated the various pieces of  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_g + \mathcal{E}_{em} + \mathcal{E}_D$ , writing down the field equations  $\mathcal{E} = 0$  is a simple matter. These equations are non-singular also when  $\Theta$  is degenerate; in the non-degenerate case one expects this approach to reproduce essentially the usual Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac field equations.

The  $\Theta$ -component

$$(\mathcal{E}_g)_\nu^c = -(\mathcal{E}_{em} + \mathcal{E}_D)_\nu^c ,$$

corresponds to the Einstein equation; actually, as already discussed, in the non-degenerate case the left-hand side is essentially the Einstein tensor, while the right-hand side can be viewed as the sum of the energy-momentum tensors of the electromagnetic field and of the Dirac field.

The  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -component gives the equation for torsion

$$(\mathcal{E}_g)_{\lambda\mu}^a = -(\mathcal{E}_D)_{\lambda\mu}^a .$$

From this one sees that the spinor field is a source for torsion, and that in this context one cannot formulate a torsion-free theory.

It was already seen (§3.3) that the  $\tilde{F}$ -component reads  $F = 2dA$  in the non-degenerate case, and of course this yields the first Maxwell equation  $dF = 0$ . The  $A$ -component is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} (d*F)_{bcd} + q \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a (\bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^\lambda \psi) = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{1}{2} c \varepsilon^{abcd} (d*F)_{bcd} = q \check{\Theta}_\lambda^a (\bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^\lambda \psi) .$$

In the non-degenerate case this gives the second Maxwell equation

$$\frac{1}{2} *d*F = j ,$$

where  $j : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \otimes T^*\mathbf{M}$  is the *Dirac current*, with coordinate expression

$$j := \frac{q}{c} \Theta_a^\lambda (\bar{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}_\lambda \psi) dx^a .$$

The  $\bar{u}$ - and  $\bar{\chi}$ -components  $(\mathcal{E}_D)_A = 0$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_D)^B = 0$  give the following generalized form of the standard *Dirac equation*:

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{2} i \check{\Theta}_{AA'}^a \nabla_a u^A - m \chi_{A'} \det \Theta + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} T_{AA'} u^A = 0 \\ \sqrt{2} i \check{\Theta}^{AA'} \nabla_a \chi_{A'} - m u^A \det \Theta + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} T^{AA'} \chi_{A'} = 0 \end{cases} .$$

Denoting by  $\check{T}$  the 1-form obtained from the torsion by contraction, with coordinate expression  $\check{T}_a \equiv T_a = T_{ab}^b$ , the above equation can be written in coordinate-free form as

$$\left( i \not{V} - m + \frac{i}{2} \gamma^\#(\check{T}) \right) \psi = 0 .$$

## 4 Dirac algebra in two-spinor terms

### 4.1 Dirac algebra

If  $\mathbf{V}$  is a finite-dimensional real vector space endowed with a non-degenerate scalar product, then its *Clifford algebra*  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{V})$  is the associative algebra generated by  $\mathbf{V}$  where the product of any  $u, v \in \mathbf{V}$  is subjected to the condition

$$uv + vu = 2u \cdot v , \quad u, v \in \mathbf{V} .$$

The Clifford algebra fulfills the following *universal property*: if  $\mathbf{A}$  is an associative algebra with unity and  $\gamma : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is a linear map such that  $\gamma(v) \gamma(v) = v \cdot v \forall v \in \mathbf{V}$ , then  $\gamma$  extends to a unique homomorphism  $\hat{\gamma} : \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ . It turns out that  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{V})$  is isomorphic, as a vector space, to the vector space underlying the exterior algebra  $\wedge \mathbf{V}$ ; through this isomorphism one identifies  $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_p$  with the antisymmetrized Clifford product

$$\frac{1}{p!} (v_1 v_2 \dots v_p - v_2 v_1 \dots v_p + \dots)$$

where the sum is extended to all permutations of the set  $\{1, \dots, p\}$ , with the appropriate signs. In other terms, one has two distinct algebras on the same underlying vector space: any element of  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{V})$  can be uniquely expressed as a sum of terms, each of well-defined exterior degree. For example, one has  $uv = u \wedge v + u \cdot v$ ; from this one sees that the Clifford algebra product does not preserve the exterior algebra degree, but only its parity:  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{V})$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded. If  $\phi \in \wedge^r \mathbf{V}$ ,  $\theta \in \wedge^s \mathbf{V}$ , then the Clifford product  $\phi \theta$  turns out to be a sum of terms of exterior degree  $r+s$ ,  $r+s-2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $|r-s|$ .

The Clifford algebra  $\mathbf{D} := \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{H})$  of Minkowski space  $\mathbf{H}$  (§1.4) is called the *Dirac algebra*. The Dirac map  $\gamma : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$  is a Clifford map, hence by virtue of the above said universal property one can see the Dirac algebra as a real vector

subspace  $\mathbf{D} \subset \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$  of dimension  $2^4 = 16$ . Since this coincides with the *complex* dimension of  $\text{End}(\mathbf{W}) \equiv \mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star$ , one gets  $\text{End}(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbf{D}$ .

The Dirac algebra  $\mathbf{D}$  is multiplicatively generated by  $\gamma(\mathbf{H}) \subset \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$ , simply identified with  $\mathbf{H}$ . One has the natural decompositions

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^{(+)} \oplus \mathbf{D}^{(-)} = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} \oplus \wedge^4 \mathbf{H}) \oplus (\mathbf{H} \oplus \wedge^3 \mathbf{H}) ,$$

where  $\mathbf{D}^{(+)}$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{(-)}$  denote the even-degree and odd-degree subspaces, respectively (the former is a subalgebra). Also, one has the distinguished elements

$$1 \equiv \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{W}} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbf{D}^{(+)} , \quad \eta^\# \subset \wedge^4 \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{D}^{(+)} ,$$

where  $\eta^\# \equiv g^\#(\eta)$  is the contravariant tensor corresponding to the unimodular volume form  $\eta$ . One gets

$$\eta^\# \eta^\# = -1 , \quad \vartheta \eta^\# = * \vartheta \quad \forall \vartheta \in \wedge \mathbf{H} ,$$

where  $*$  is the Hodge isomorphism.

## 4.2 Decomposition of $\text{End } \mathbf{W}$ and $\varepsilon$ -transposition

One has the natural decomposition

$$\text{End}(\mathbf{W}) \equiv \text{End}(\mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star) = (\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star) \oplus (\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}) \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star) \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}) .$$

Accordingly, any  $\Phi \in \text{End}(\mathbf{W})$  is a 4-uple of tensors, which will be conveniently written in matricial form as

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ Q & J \end{pmatrix} , \quad K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star , \quad P \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} , \quad Q \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star , \quad J \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} .$$

We now introduce an operation which acts on each of the above 4 types of tensors in a similar way. This operation, called  $\varepsilon$ -transposition, is actually independent of the particular normalized  $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$  chosen; it is defined by

$$\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U} : K \mapsto \tilde{K} := \langle \varepsilon^\flat \otimes \varepsilon^\#, K \rangle = \varepsilon_{CA} K^C_D \varepsilon^{DB} \mathbf{z}^A \otimes \zeta_B ,$$

$$\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star : P \mapsto \tilde{P} := \langle \varepsilon^\flat \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}^\flat, P \rangle = \varepsilon_{CA} P^{C\bar{D}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{D\bar{B}} \mathbf{z}^A \otimes \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{\bar{B}} ,$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \mathbf{U} : Q \mapsto \tilde{Q} := \langle \bar{\varepsilon}^\# \otimes \varepsilon^\#, Q \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon}^{C\bar{A}} Q_{C\bar{D}} \varepsilon^{DB} \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{\bar{B}} ,$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{U}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star : J \mapsto \tilde{J} := \langle \bar{\varepsilon}^\# \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}^\flat, J \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon}^{C\bar{A}} J_{C\bar{D}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{D\bar{B}} \bar{\zeta}_{\bar{A}} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{\bar{B}} .$$

Namely,  $\varepsilon$ -transposition changes the position (either high or low) of both indices of the tensor it acts on. For elements in  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$  or  $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$  it essentially amounts to index lowering (resp. raising) by the Lorentz metric  $g$  in complexified Minkowski space; for invertible elements in  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \equiv \text{End}(\mathbf{U})$  or  $\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \equiv \text{End}(\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star)$ ,  $\varepsilon$ -transposition amounts to

$$\tilde{X} = (\det X) (X^{-1})^\star ,$$

where the superscript  $\star$  denotes standard transposition.

It is clear that  $\varepsilon$ -transposition can be similarly defined<sup>12</sup> on  $U^\star \otimes U$ ,  $U^\star \otimes \bar{U}^\star$ ,  $\bar{U} \otimes U$  and  $\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}^\star$ , and in all cases one gets

$$\tilde{\tilde{X}} = X, \quad (\tilde{X})^\star = (X^\star)^\sim, \quad \tilde{X} X^\star = X^\star \tilde{X} = (\det X) \mathbb{1}, \quad \det X = \det \tilde{X}.$$

**Remark.** The determinant is uniquely defined, via any  $\varepsilon$ , also for elements in  $U \otimes \bar{U}$ ,  $U^\star \otimes \bar{U}^\star$ ,  $\bar{U} \otimes U$  and  $\bar{U}^\star \otimes U^\star$ . In these cases, the determinant of a tensor equals one-half its Lorentz pseudo-norm.

Moreover, whenever the composition of tensors  $X$  and  $Y$  is defined, one has

$$(XY)^\sim = \tilde{X} \tilde{Y}, \quad \text{Tr}(\tilde{X} \tilde{Y}) = \text{Tr}(XY).$$

Whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are tensors of the same type, one has

$$\det(A + B) = \det(A) + \det(B) + \text{Tr}(A^\star \tilde{B}),$$

where the *scalar product*  $(A, B) \mapsto \text{Tr}(A^\star \tilde{B})$  is *symmetric*.<sup>13</sup>

**Proposition 4.1** *Let  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ Q & J \end{pmatrix} \in W \otimes W^\star$  be non-singular. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \det \Phi &= (\det K) (\det J) + (\det P) (\det Q) - \text{Tr}(K^\star \tilde{P} J^\star \tilde{Q}), \\ (\det \Phi) \Phi^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} (\det J) \tilde{K}^\star - \tilde{Q}^\star J \tilde{P}^\star & (\det P) \tilde{Q}^\star - \tilde{K}^\star P \tilde{J}^\star \\ (\det Q) \tilde{P}^\star - \tilde{J}^\star Q \tilde{K}^\star & (\det K) \tilde{J}^\star - \tilde{P}^\star K \tilde{Q}^\star \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: It can be checked by a direct calculation, taking into account the above identities.  $\square$

### 4.3 $\varepsilon$ -adjoint and characterization of $D$

If  $X$  is a tensor of any of the above types, then its  $\varepsilon$ -adjoint is the tensor

$$X^\dagger := \tilde{\tilde{X}}.$$

Using this operation one defines the real involution

$$\dagger : W \otimes W^\star \rightarrow W \otimes W^\star : \begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ Q & J \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} J^\dagger & Q^\dagger \\ P^\dagger & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 4.2**  *$D$  and  $iD$  are the eigenspaces of  $\dagger$  corresponding to eigenvalues  $+1$  and  $-1$ , respectively. Namely,  $D$  is the real subspace of  $W \otimes W^\star$  constituted by all endomorphisms which can be written in the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ P^\dagger & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad K \in U \otimes U^\star, \quad P \in U \otimes \bar{U}.$$

Moreover one has the following characterisations

$$D^0 \equiv \mathbb{R} = \left\{ r \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_U & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{\bar{U}^\star} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

<sup>12</sup>One could introduce  $\varepsilon$ -transposition on further spaces such as  $U \otimes U$ ,  $U \otimes \bar{U}^\star$  and so on. These extensions however would depend from the chosen normalized  $\varepsilon$ ; phase factors cancel out only in the considered cases.

<sup>13</sup>On  $U \otimes \bar{U}$  and  $\bar{U} \otimes U$  (resp.  $U^\star \otimes \bar{U}^\star$  and  $\bar{U}^\star \otimes U^\star$ ) this coincides with  $2g$  (resp.  $2g^\#$ ).

$$\mathbf{D}^1 \equiv \mathbf{H} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P \in \mathbf{H} \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{D}^2 \equiv \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star, \quad \text{Tr } K = 0 \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{D}^3 \equiv \wedge^3 \mathbf{H} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P \in \mathfrak{i} \mathbf{H} \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{D}^4 \equiv \wedge^4 \mathbf{H} = \left\{ \mathfrak{i} r \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{U}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{\overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{D}^{(+)} = \mathbf{D}^0 \oplus \mathbf{D}^2 \oplus \mathbf{D}^4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{D}^{(-)} = \mathbf{D}^1 \oplus \mathbf{D}^3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \right\}.$$

PROOF: The Dirac map  $\gamma : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathbf{W}$  can be written as

$$\gamma : v \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2}v \\ \sqrt{2}v^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

whence the characterization of  $\mathbf{D}^1$ . It immediately follows that  $\mathbf{D}^{(+)}$  is constituted by diagonal-block elements, while  $\mathbf{D}^{(-)}$  is constituted by off-diagonal-block elements. The other characterizations can be checked by matrix calculations.  $\square$

## 5 Clifford group and its subgroups

### 5.1 Clifford group

Let  $\mathbf{D}^\bullet := \mathbf{D} \cap \text{Aut } \mathbf{W}$  be the group of all invertible elements in  $\mathbf{D}$ . The Clifford group  $\text{Cl} \equiv \text{Cl}(\mathbf{W})$  is defined to be [7, 9] the subgroup of  $\mathbf{D}^\bullet$  under whose adjoint action  $\mathbf{H}$  is stable. In other terms,  $\Phi \in \mathbf{D}^\bullet$  is an element of  $\text{Cl}$  iff

$$\text{Ad}[\Phi]v \equiv \Phi \gamma(v) \Phi^{-1} \in \gamma(\mathbf{H}), \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{H}.$$

Using proposition 4.1 we write the adjoint action as

$$\begin{aligned} (\det \Phi) \text{Ad}[\Phi]v &= \begin{pmatrix} K & P \\ P^\dagger & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ V^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y^\dagger & X^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} P V^\dagger X + K V Y^\dagger & P V^\dagger Y + K V X^\dagger \\ K^\dagger V^\dagger X + P^\dagger V Y^\dagger & K^\dagger V^\dagger Y + P^\dagger V X^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V \equiv \sqrt{2}v$  and

$$X \equiv (\det \bar{K}) \tilde{K}^\star - \bar{P}^\star \tilde{K} \tilde{P}^\star, \quad Y \equiv (\det P) \bar{P}^\star - \tilde{K}^\star P \bar{K}^\star,$$

$$X^\dagger \equiv (\det K) \bar{K}^\star - \tilde{P}^\star K \bar{P}^\star, \quad Y^\dagger \equiv (\det \bar{P}) \tilde{P}^\star - \bar{K}^\star \tilde{P} \bar{K}^\star.$$



**Lemma 5.1** *An element of  $\mathbf{D}^\bullet$  which belongs to the Clifford group is necessarily either odd or even, so that the Clifford group is the disjoint union  $\text{Cl} = \text{Cl}^{(+)} \cup \text{Cl}^{(-)}$  where  $\text{Cl}^{(+)} \equiv \text{Cl} \cap \mathbf{D}^{(+)}$ ,  $\text{Cl}^{(-)} \equiv \text{Cl} \cap \mathbf{D}^{(-)}$ .*

PROOF: If  $\Phi$  is in  $\text{Cl}$  then the  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$ -component of  $\text{Ad}[\Phi]v$  vanishes for all  $v \in \mathbf{H}$ , namely

$$K V \tilde{Y} = -P \tilde{V} X, \quad \forall V \in \mathbf{H}.$$

Composing both sides with  $\tilde{V}^\star \tilde{K}^\star$  on the left and with  $\tilde{X}^\star$  on the right one finds

$$(\det K) (\det V) \tilde{Y} \tilde{X}^\star = -(\det \Phi) (\det \bar{K}) \tilde{V}^\star \tilde{K}^\star P \tilde{V}.$$

Now the above equality is certainly fulfilled in the particular case when  $\det K = 0$ . Suppose  $\det K \neq 0$  for the moment (the other case will be considered later). The left-hand side vanishes for all null elements  $V \in \mathbf{H}$ , thus also  $\tilde{V}^\star \tilde{K}^\star P \tilde{V}$  vanishes for all null vectors  $V$ ; it's not difficult to see that this implies  $\tilde{K}^\star P = 0$ , which on turn implies  $P = 0$ . Summarizing, if  $\Phi \in \text{Cl}$  and  $\det K \neq 0$  then  $P = 0$ . By a similar argument, composing the equation  $K V \tilde{Y} = -P \tilde{V} X$  on the left by  $\bar{V}^\star \tilde{P}^\star$  and on the right by  $\bar{Y}^\star$ , one finds that if  $\Phi \in \text{Cl}$  and  $\det P \neq 0$  then  $K = 0$ .

The case which remains to be considered is that when  $\det K = \det P = 0$ . Since  $\det P = \frac{1}{2} g(P, P)$ ,  $P$  is an isotropic element of  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ , and as such it is decomposable. Similarly,  $K$  is decomposable. Namely one can write

$$K = k \otimes \lambda, \quad P = p \otimes \bar{q}, \quad V = s \otimes \bar{s}, \quad k, p, q, s \in \mathbf{U}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{U}^\star.$$

A little two-spinor algebra then yields

$$P \tilde{V} X + K V \tilde{Y} = \bar{\varepsilon}(\bar{k}, \bar{p}) \left[ \langle \lambda, q \rangle |\langle \lambda, s \rangle|^2 k \otimes k^b - \langle \bar{\lambda}, \bar{q} \rangle |\varepsilon(s, q)|^2 p \otimes p^b \right],$$

$$\det \Phi = -\text{Tr}(K \bar{P}^\star \tilde{K} \tilde{P}^\star) = |\varepsilon(k, p)|^2 |\langle \lambda, q \rangle|^2.$$

Now one sees that in order that  $\det \Phi \neq 0$  one must have  $\langle \lambda, q \rangle \neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon(k, p) \neq 0$ . Thus  $k \otimes k^b$  and  $p \otimes p^b$  are linearly independent elements of  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$  and, in order that  $P \tilde{V} X + K V \tilde{Y}$  vanishes for all  $V$ , one must have  $\langle \lambda, s \rangle = \varepsilon(q, s)$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{U}$ , which implies  $\lambda = 0$  and  $q = 0$  that is  $K = 0$  and  $P = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus the case  $\det K = \det P = 0$  cannot yield an element  $\Phi \in \text{Cl}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.1**

a)  $\text{Cl}^{(+)}$  is the 7-dimensional real submanifold of  $\mathbf{D}^{(+)}$  constituted of all elements in  $\mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star$  which are of the type

$$\begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star, \quad \det K \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

b)  $\text{Cl}^{(-)}$  is the 7-dimensional real submanifold of  $\mathbf{D}^{(-)}$  constituted of all elements in  $\mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{W}^\star$  which are of the type

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}, \quad \det P \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

PROOF:

a) Let  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $K \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}^\star$ ,  $\det K \neq 0$ . Then

$$(\det \Phi) \operatorname{Ad}[\Phi]v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\det K) K V \bar{K}^\star \\ (\det \bar{K}) \tilde{\bar{K}} \tilde{\bar{V}} \tilde{\bar{K}}^\star & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V \equiv \sqrt{2}v \in \mathbf{H}.$$

For  $\operatorname{Ad}[\Phi]v$  to be in  $\mathbf{H}$ , the two non-zero entries of the above matrix must be in  $\mathbf{H} \equiv \mathbf{U} \bar{\vee} \bar{\mathbf{U}}$  and in  $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^\star \bar{\vee} \mathbf{U}^\star$ , respectively. Consider the  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ -entry. Since  $\bar{V} = V^\star$  because  $V$  is Hermitian, one finds

$$[(\det K) K V \bar{K}^\star]^\star = (\det \bar{K}) K V \bar{K}^\star,$$

and  $(\det K) K V \bar{K}^\star$  is Hermitian for all  $V \in \mathbf{H}$  iff  $\det K = \det \bar{K}$  (this argument gives the same result for the other non-zero entry).

b) Let  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $P \in \mathbf{U} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{U}}$ ,  $\det P = \frac{1}{2}g(P, P) \neq 0$ . Then

$$(\det \Phi) \operatorname{Ad}[\Phi]v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\det P) P \tilde{\bar{V}} \bar{P}^\star \\ (\det \bar{P}) \tilde{\bar{P}} V \tilde{\bar{P}}^\star & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the same argument as before,  $\Phi \in \operatorname{Cl}$  iff  $\det P = \det \bar{P}$ .  $\square$

Now it is not difficult to show that any complex  $2 \times 2$ -matrix with real determinant can be written as a product of Hermitian matrices. Using this, one recovers a well-known result:

**Proposition 5.2**  *$\operatorname{Cl}$  is multiplicatively generated by  $\mathbf{H}^\bullet \subset \mathbf{H}$ , the subset of all elements in  $\mathbf{H}$  with non-vanishing Lorentz pseudo-norm.*

Namely any element of  $\operatorname{Cl}$  can be written as

$$\Phi = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n, \quad v_j \in \mathbf{H}, \quad g(v_j, v_j) \neq 0;$$

its inverse is

$$\Phi^{-1} = \frac{1}{\nu(\Phi)} v_n \dots v_2 v_1, \quad \nu(\Phi) := g(v_1, v_1) g(v_2, v_2) \dots g(v_n, v_n).$$

Setting now  $V_i \equiv \sqrt{2}v_i$  one has  $\det V_i = \det \tilde{V}_i = g(v_i, v_i)$ , hence

$$\nu(\Phi) = \det(V_1 \tilde{V}_2 V_3 \tilde{V}_4 \dots) = \prod_{i=1}^n \det(V_i).$$

Namely, if  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Cl}^{(+)}$  then  $\nu(\Phi) = \det K = \det K^\dagger$ ; if  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Cl}^{(-)}$  then  $\nu(\Phi) = \det P = \det P^\dagger$ .

**Remark.** Actually, it can be seen that any complex  $2 \times 2$ -matrix with real determinant can be written as a product of just *three* Hermitian matrices (but not, in general, of two matrices). This implies that an element in  $\operatorname{Cl}^{(-)}$  can be written as  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ P^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $P = V_1 V_2^\dagger V_3$ , and an element in  $\operatorname{Cl}^{(+)}$  can be written as  $\begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$  with  $K = V_1 V_2^\dagger V_3 V_4^\dagger$ ,  $V_i \in \mathbf{H}^\bullet$ .

The adjoint action of any  $w \in \mathbf{H}$  on  $\mathbf{H}$  is easily checked to be the negative of the reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to  $w$ . It follows that  $\text{Cl}^{(+)}$  is the subgroup of all elements in  $\text{Cl}$  whose adjoint action preserves the orientation of  $\mathbf{H}$ . Moreover, the subgroup

$$\text{Cl}^\dagger := \{\Phi \in \text{Cl} : \nu(\Phi) > 0\}$$

is constituted of all elements of  $\text{Cl}$  whose adjoint action preserves the time-orientation of  $\mathbf{H}$ . Its representation as  $\Phi = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$  has an even number of spacelike factors and any number of timelike factors.

The unit element of  $\text{Cl}$  is  $\mathbb{1} \in \mathbf{D}^{(+)} \subset \mathbf{D}$ . Thus the Lie algebra of  $\text{Cl}$  is a 7-dimensional vector subspace

$$\mathfrak{LCl} \subset \mathbf{D}^{(+)} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} \oplus \wedge^4 \mathbf{H} \equiv \mathbb{R} \mathbb{1} \oplus \hat{\gamma}(\wedge^2 \mathbf{H}) \oplus \hat{\gamma}(\wedge^4 \mathbf{H}) .$$

Now observe that  $\wedge^4 \mathbf{H}$  is not contained in  $\mathfrak{LCl}$  since

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \exp(t \eta^\#) = \exp \begin{pmatrix} -it \mathbb{1}_U & 0 \\ 0 & it \mathbb{1}_{\overline{U}^\star} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-it} \mathbb{1}_U & 0 \\ 0 & e^{it} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{U}^\star} \end{pmatrix}$$

is not in  $\text{Cl}$  because the two component endomorphisms  $e^{-it} \mathbb{1}_U \in U \otimes U^\star$  and  $e^{it} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{U}^\star} \in \overline{U}^\star \otimes \overline{U}$  have non-real determinant. Hence, just by a dimension argument, one finds

$$\mathfrak{LCl} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} .$$

## 5.2 Pin and Spin

If  $\Phi \in \text{Cl}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  then  $\text{Ad}[a \Phi] = \text{Ad}[\Phi] : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ . It is then natural to consider the subgroup

$$\text{Pin} := \{\Phi \in \text{Cl} : \nu(\Phi) = \pm 1\} ,$$

which is multiplicatively generated by all elements in  $\mathbf{H}$  whose Lorentz pseudo-norm is  $\pm 1$ . It has the subgroups

$$\text{Spin} := \text{Pin}^{(+)} \equiv \text{Pin} \cap \text{Cl}^{(+)} = \{\Phi \in \text{Cl}^{(+)} : \nu(\Phi) = \pm 1\} ,$$

$$\text{Pin}^\dagger := \text{Pin} \cap \text{Cl}^\dagger = \{\Phi \in \text{Cl} : \nu(\Phi) = 1\} ,$$

$$\text{Spin}^\dagger := \text{Spin} \cap \text{Cl}^\dagger = \{\Phi \in \text{Cl}^{(+)} : \nu(\Phi) = 1\} .$$

These share the same Lie algebra

$$\wedge^2 \mathbf{H} = \mathfrak{LPin} = \mathfrak{LSpin} = \mathfrak{LPin}^\dagger = \mathfrak{LSpin}^\dagger .$$

The automorphisms of  $U$  which have unit determinant constitute the group  $\text{Sl} \equiv \text{Sl}(U)$ ; thus

$$\text{Cl}^{(+)\dagger} \equiv \text{Cl}^{(+)} \cap \text{Cl}^\dagger = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End } \mathbf{W} : K \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \text{Sl} \right\} ,$$

$$\text{Spin}^\dagger = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End } \mathbf{W} : K \in \text{Sl} \right\} .$$

In particular, one has the isomorphism

$$\text{Spin}^\uparrow \leftrightarrow \text{Sl} : \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow K .$$

Now remember that

$$\hat{\gamma}(\wedge^2 \mathbf{H}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End } \mathbf{W} : \text{Tr } A = 0 \right\} ,$$

$$\hat{\gamma}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End } \mathbf{W} : \Im \text{Tr } A = 0 \right\} ;$$

moreover  $\text{End } \mathbf{U}$  can be decomposed into the direct sum of the subspace of all traceless endomorphism, which is just  $\mathfrak{LSl}$ , and the subspace  $\mathbb{C} \mathbf{1}$  generated by the identity. Then one has the Lie algebra isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{LCl} = \mathfrak{LCl}^{(+)\uparrow} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R} \mathbf{1}) \oplus \mathfrak{LSl} ,$$

$$\mathfrak{LPin} = \mathfrak{LSpin}^\uparrow = \wedge^2 \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{LSl} .$$

**Proposition 5.3** *Let*

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Spin} , \quad v \in \mathbf{H} , \quad \gamma(v) = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & V^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}v & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}v^\dagger \end{pmatrix} .$$

*Then*

$$\text{Ad}[\Phi]\gamma(v) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & [K \otimes \bar{K}](V) \\ ([K \otimes \bar{K}](V))^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

*where the + sign holds iff  $\Phi \in \text{Spin}^\uparrow$ .*

PROOF: Remembering the previous results one finds

$$\text{Ad}[\Phi]\gamma(v) = \frac{1}{\det K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K V \bar{K}^\star \\ (K V \bar{K}^\star)^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Moreover

$$(K V \bar{K}^\star)^{AA'} = K^A_B V^{BB'} (\bar{K}^\star)_{B'}^{A'} = K^A_B V^{BB'} \bar{K}_{B'}^{A'} = (K \otimes \bar{K})^{AA'}_{BB'} V^{BB'} .$$

□

Now remember (§1.8) that the group  $\{K \otimes \bar{K} : K \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{U})\}$  is constituted of automorphisms of  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$  which preserve the splitting  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{iH}$  and the causal structure of  $\mathbf{H}$ . Its subgroup  $\{K \otimes \bar{K} : K \in \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U})\}$  coincides with  $\text{Lor}_+^\uparrow(\mathbf{H})$ . Thus one sees that the group isomorphism  $\text{Sl} \rightarrow \text{Spin}^\uparrow$  determines the 2-to-1 epimorphism  $\text{Spin}^\uparrow \rightarrow \text{Lor}_+^\uparrow$ .

One also finds that  $\text{Spin}^\uparrow$  is the subgroup of  $\text{End } \mathbf{W}$  preserving  $(\gamma, k, g, \eta, \varepsilon)$  as well as time-orientation. Let's review these properties in terms of two-spinors.

• Obviously,  $\text{Spin}^\dagger$  preserves the splitting  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$ . If  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $K \in \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U})$ , then  $\tilde{K} = K^{-1}$ , so for  $\psi \equiv (u, \chi), \psi' \equiv (u', \chi') \in \mathbf{W}$  one gets

$$\begin{aligned} k(\Phi\psi, \Phi\psi') &= k((K u, \chi \bar{K}^{-1}), (K u', \chi' \bar{K}^{-1})) = \langle \bar{\chi} K^{-1}, K u' \rangle + \langle \chi' \bar{K}^{-1}, \bar{K} \bar{u} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \bar{\chi}, u' \rangle + \langle \chi', \bar{u} \rangle = k(\psi, \psi') . \end{aligned}$$

• Since  $K \otimes \bar{K} : \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}}$  sends Hermitian tensors to Hermitian tensors and anti-Hermitian tensors to anti-Hermitian tensors, it preserves the splitting  $\mathbf{U} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{iH}$ . Also, remember that  $K \otimes \bar{K} = \text{Ad}[\Phi]$ .

•  $K \otimes \bar{K} = \text{Ad}[\Phi] \in \text{Lor}_+^\dagger(\mathbf{H})$ , the subgroup of the Lorentz group which preserves orientation and time-orientation.

•  $\Phi$  preserves the Dirac map  $\gamma$ . In fact if  $y \in \mathbf{H}$  then

$$\gamma[y] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2}y \\ \sqrt{2}y^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad y^\dagger \equiv \tilde{y} = \tilde{y}^\star ,$$

$$\text{Ad}[\Phi]\gamma[y] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2}[K \otimes \bar{K}]y \\ \sqrt{2}([K \otimes \bar{K}]y)^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma[[K \otimes \bar{K}]y] .$$

• If  $K \in \text{Sl}$  then  $K$  preserves any symplectic form  $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$ . Hence  $\Phi \equiv \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Spin}^\dagger$  preserves the corresponding symplectic form  $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^\#) \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{W}^\star$  and charge conjugation.

## 6 Spinors and particle momenta

### 6.1 Particle momentum in two-spinor terms

It has already been observed (§1.4) that any future-pointing non-spacelike element in  $\mathbf{H}$  can be written in the form

$$u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v} , \quad u, v \in \mathbf{U} .$$

If  $u$  and  $v$  are not proportional to each other, that is  $\varepsilon(u, v) \neq 0$ , then the above expression is a timelike future-pointing vector; if  $\varepsilon(u, v) = 0$ , then it is a null vector. Future-pointing elements in  $\mathbf{H}$  are a contravariant, “conformally invariant” version of *classical particle momenta* (translation to a scaled and/or covariant version, when needed, will be effortless).

Let  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  be the subsets of  $\mathbf{H}$  constituted of all future-pointing timelike vectors and of all future-pointing null vectors, respectively; moreover, set  $\mathbf{J} := \mathbf{K} \cup \mathbf{N}$  (all these sets do not contain the zero element). Consider now the real quadratic maps

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{p}} : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} &\rightarrow \mathbf{J} : (u, v) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v}) , \\ \mathbf{p} : \mathbf{W} \cong \mathbf{U} \times \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star &\rightarrow \mathbf{J} : (u, \chi) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u \otimes \bar{u} + \bar{\chi}^\# \otimes \chi^\#) . \end{aligned}$$

When a normalized symplectic form  $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$  is *fixed*,  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\mathbf{p}$  are essentially the same objects, as one can represent a given element  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v})$  of  $\mathbf{J}$  by writing

$v \otimes \bar{v}$  as  $(\bar{\chi} \otimes \chi)^\#$ ; here,  $u, v \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $\chi \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}^\star$ . In such case I'll set

$$\begin{aligned} v &:= -\bar{\chi}^\# \iff \chi = \bar{v}^\flat, \\ \Rightarrow \quad \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle &= \langle v^\flat, u \rangle = \varepsilon(v, u), \quad \langle \chi, \bar{u} \rangle = \langle \bar{v}^\flat, \bar{u} \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}, \bar{u}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $p = p(u, \chi) \equiv \tilde{p}(u, v)$  then we'll use the shorthands

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2 &:= g(p, p) = 2|\varepsilon(u, v)|^2 = 2|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|^2, \\ h &:= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mu} \bar{p}^\flat = \frac{1}{|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|} (\bar{u}^\flat \otimes u^\flat + \chi \otimes \bar{\chi}). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $h$  can be seen as an  $\varepsilon$ -normalized Hermitian metric on  $\mathbf{U}$ .

**Proposition 6.1** *Let  $(u, \chi) \equiv (u, \bar{v}^\flat) \in \mathbf{W}$ ,  $\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle \neq 0$ ; let  $p \in \mathbf{K}$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i)  $p = u \otimes \bar{u} + (\bar{\chi} \otimes \chi)^\#$ ,
- ii)  $\gamma[p](u, \chi) = \mu (e^{-i\theta} u, e^{i\theta} \chi)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- iii)  $\bar{h}^\flat(u) = e^{i\theta} \chi$ ,
- iv)  $h^\#(\chi) = e^{-i\theta} u$ ,
- v)  $h(\bar{u}, v) = 0$  and  $|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle| = h(\bar{u}, u)$ ,
- v')  $h(\bar{u}, v) = 0$  and  $|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle| = h(\bar{v}, v)$ ,

where  $\mu$  and  $h$  are defined in terms of  $(u, \chi)$  as above.

PROOF: By straightorwaed calculations one sees that condition **i** implies conditions **ii**, **iii**, **iv**, **v** and **v'**. Moreover:

(**ii**  $\Leftrightarrow$  **iii**) : It follows from  $\gamma[\tau](u, \chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma[\bar{h}^\#](u, \chi) = (h^\#(\chi), \bar{h}^\flat(u))$ .

(**iii**  $\Leftrightarrow$  **iv**) : If  $\bar{h}^\flat(u) = e^{i\theta} \chi$  then  $u = h^\#(\bar{h}^\flat(u)) = h^\#(e^{i\theta} \chi) = e^{i\theta} h^\#(\chi)$ . Similarly, if  $h^\#(\chi) = e^{-i\theta} u$  then  $\chi = \bar{h}^\flat(h^\#(\chi)) = \bar{h}^\flat(e^{-i\theta} u) = e^{-i\theta} \bar{h}^\flat(u)$ .

(**iv**  $\Rightarrow$  **v**) :  $h(\bar{u}, v) = \langle h^\flat(\bar{u}), -\bar{\chi}^\# \rangle = -\langle e^{-i\theta} \bar{\chi}, \bar{\chi}^\# \rangle = e^{-i\theta} \varepsilon^\#(\bar{\chi}, \bar{\chi}) = 0$ .

Moreover  $h(\bar{u}, u) = \langle \bar{h}^\flat(u), \bar{u} \rangle = \langle e^{i\theta} \chi, \bar{u} \rangle = \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle \langle \chi, \bar{u} \rangle / |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle| = |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|$ .

(**v**  $\Rightarrow$  **iv**) : From  $0 = h(\bar{u}, v) = \langle h^\flat(\bar{u}), -\bar{\chi}^\# \rangle = -\varepsilon^\#(\bar{\chi}, h^\flat(\bar{u}))$  one has  $\bar{\chi} = c h^\flat(\bar{u})$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle = c h(\bar{u}, u) = c |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle| \Rightarrow c = e^{i\theta}$ .

(**v**  $\Rightarrow$  **v'**) : From **iv** (equivalent to **v**) one has  $h(\bar{v}, v) = \langle h, \chi^\# \otimes \bar{\chi}^\# \rangle = \langle h^\#, \chi \otimes \bar{\chi} \rangle = \langle h^\#(\chi), \bar{\chi} \rangle = e^{-i\theta} \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle = |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|$ , hence also  $h(\bar{v}, v) = |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|$ .

(**v'**  $\Rightarrow$  **iv**) : As in **v**  $\Rightarrow$  **iv** one has  $\bar{\chi} = c h^\flat(u)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , or  $u = \frac{1}{c} h^\#(\chi)$ . Then, from  $\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle = \langle \bar{\chi}, \frac{1}{c} h^\#(\chi) \rangle = \frac{1}{c} h^\#(\chi, \bar{\chi}) = \frac{1}{c} h(\bar{v}, v)$  one has  $\bar{c} = e^{-i\theta}$  i.e.  $c = e^{i\theta}$ .

(**v**  $\Rightarrow$  **i**) : Using also **v'** (already seen to be equivalent to **v**) one sees that the couple  $(\zeta_u, \zeta_v) \equiv (u, v) / \sqrt{|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|}$  is an  $h$ -orthonormal basis of  $\mathbf{U}$ ; hence  $h^\# = \bar{\zeta}_u \otimes \zeta_u + \bar{\zeta}_v \otimes \zeta_v = \frac{1}{|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|} (\bar{u} \otimes u + \bar{v} \otimes v)$ . Condition **i** then follows.  $\square$

## 6.2 Bundle structure of 4-spinor space over momentum space

The previous results show that the restriction  $p : \mathbf{W} \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{J}$  is surjective. Since the Lorentz “length” of  $p(u, \chi)$  is  $\sqrt{2}|\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|$  one sees that the subset of all elements in  $\mathbf{W}$  which project onto  $\mathbf{N}$  is the 6-dimensional real submanifold

$$\mathbf{W}^0 := p^{-1}(\mathbf{N}) = \{(u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W} \setminus \{0\} : \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle = 0\} \subset \mathbf{W}.$$

The subset of all elements in  $\mathbf{W}$  which project onto  $\mathbf{K}$  is the open submanifold

$$\mathbf{W}^\vee := p^{-1}(\mathbf{K}) = \{(u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W} : \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle \neq 0\},$$

and one has

$$\mathbf{W} \setminus \{0\} = \mathbf{W}^0 \cup \mathbf{W}^\vee.$$

Moreover, consider the subsets  $\mathbf{W}^+, \mathbf{W}^- \subset \mathbf{W}^\vee$  defined to be

$$\mathbf{W}^\pm := \{(u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W} : \langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^\pm\}.$$

Recalling condition **ii** of proposition 6.1 one has

$$\gamma[p\psi]\psi = \mu(e^{-i\theta}u, e^{i\theta}\chi),$$

which holds for every  $\psi \equiv (u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W}$  (if  $\psi \in \mathbf{W}^0$  then  $\mu = 0$ ). In particular

$$\mathbf{W}^\pm = \{\psi \equiv (u, \chi) \in \mathbf{W} \setminus \{0\} : \gamma[p\psi]\psi = \pm\mu\psi, \mu \equiv |\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle|\}.$$

Next, consider the subset

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\vee := \{(u, v) : \varepsilon(u, v) \neq 0\} \subset \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U},$$

and note that when a normalized symplectic form  $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$  is fixed,  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\vee$  can be identified with  $\mathbf{W}^\vee$  via the correspondence  $\bar{v}^\flat \leftrightarrow \chi$ .  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\vee$  is a fibred set over  $\mathbf{K}$ ; for each  $p \in \mathbf{K}$ , the fibre of  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\vee$  over  $p$  is the subset

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_p^\vee := \tilde{p}^{-1}(p) = \{(u, v) \in \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\vee : \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u \otimes \bar{u} + v \otimes \bar{v}) = p\}.$$

**Proposition 6.2**  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is a trivializable principal bundle with structure group  $\mathbf{U}(2)$ .

PROOF: Let  $p = \tilde{p}(u, v) = \tilde{p}(u', v')$ . From proposition 6.1 one then sees that  $(u, v)$  and  $(u', v')$  are orthonormal bases of  $\mathbf{U}$  relatively to the Hermitian metric  $h \equiv \sqrt{2}\bar{p}^\flat/\mu$ . Then there exists a unique transformation  $K \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U}, h)$  such that

$$u' = K(u), \quad v' = K(v);$$

hence,  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_p^\vee$  is a group-affine space, with derived group  $\mathbf{U}(2)$ .

Let now  $(\zeta_A)$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -normalized basis of  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $(\tau_\lambda)$  the associated Pauli frame. For each  $p \in \mathbf{K}$  let  $L_p \in \text{Lor}_+^\uparrow(\mathbf{H})$  be the boost such that  $L_p \tau_0 = p/\mu$ , where  $\mu^2 \equiv g(p, p)$ ; up to sign there is a unique  $B_p \in \text{Sl}(\mathbf{U})$  such that  $L_p = B_p \otimes \bar{B}_p$ , and a consistent smooth way of choosing one such  $B_p$  for each  $p$  can be fixed. It turns out that the basis  $(\sqrt{\mu} B_p \zeta_A)$  is orthonormal relatively to  $\sqrt{2}\bar{p}^\flat/\mu$  seen as a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbf{U}$ , hence  $\tilde{p}(\sqrt{\mu} B_p \zeta_1, \sqrt{\mu} B_p \zeta_2) = p$ . In this way one selects an “origin” element in each fibre of  $\tilde{p}$ , so getting a trivialization  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{U}(2)$ .  $\square$

Using a little two-spinor algebra it is not difficult to prove:

**Proposition 6.3** *Let  $\psi, \psi' \in \mathbf{W}^\lambda$ ,  $\psi \equiv (u, \chi)$ ,  $\psi' \equiv (u', \chi')$ ; let  $K \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{U}$  be the unique automorphism of  $\mathbf{U}$  such that*

$$K u = u, \quad K \bar{\chi}^\# = \bar{\chi}'^\#.$$

*Then*

$$K = \frac{1}{\langle \bar{\chi}, u \rangle^2} \left[ \langle \bar{\chi}, u' \rangle u \otimes \bar{\chi} - \varepsilon^\#(\bar{\chi}, \bar{\chi}') u \otimes u^b + \varepsilon(u, u') \bar{\chi}^\# \otimes \bar{\chi} + \langle \bar{\chi}', u \rangle \bar{\chi}^\# \otimes u^b \right].$$

*Moreover, one has*

$$\chi' = K^\dagger \chi.$$

*Conversely, the conditions  $u' = K u$  and  $\chi' = K^\dagger \chi$  determine  $K$  uniquely.*

The above expression for  $K$  is invariant relatively to the transformation  $\varepsilon \mapsto e^{i\theta} \varepsilon$ ; hence,  $K$  is independent of the particular normalized symplectic form  $\varepsilon$  chosen.

When a normalized  $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \mathbf{U}^\star$  is given, one has the real vector bundle isomorphism  $\mathbf{W}^\lambda \leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^\lambda : (u, v) \leftrightarrow (u, \bar{v}^b)$ . Through this correspondence,  $\mathbf{W}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  turns out to be a trivializable principal bundle with structure group  $\text{U}(2)$ . If  $\psi, \psi' \in \mathbf{W}_p^\lambda$ , let

$$(K) = c \begin{pmatrix} a & \bar{b} \\ -b & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{U}(2), \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} : |a|^2 + |b|^2 = |c|^2 = 1,$$

be the matrix of  $K \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{U}$  sending  $\psi$  to  $\psi'$  (according to proposition 6.3) relatively to the basis  $(u, v)$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} u' = c(a u - b v), \\ v' = c(\bar{b} u + \bar{a} v), \end{cases} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} u' = c(a u + b \bar{\chi}^\#), \\ \chi' = \bar{c}(a \chi + b \bar{u}^b). \end{cases}$$

If you take a different normalized symplectic form  $\varepsilon \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \varepsilon$ , then  $K$  does not change, while the corresponding matrix  $(K) \in \text{U}(2)$  changes according to  $c \rightarrow c$ ,  $a \rightarrow a$ ,  $b \rightarrow e^{i\theta} b$ .

The above  $\text{U}(2)$ -action does not preserve  $\mathbf{W}^\pm \subset \mathbf{W}^\lambda$ . In fact it's straightforward to prove:

**Proposition 6.4** *Let  $\psi, \psi' \in \mathbf{W}_p^+$  (resp.  $\psi, \psi' \in \mathbf{W}_p^-$ ),  $\psi \equiv (u, \chi)$ ,  $\psi' \equiv (u', \chi')$ ; let  $K$  be the unique automorphism of  $\mathbf{U}$  such that  $K u = u$ ,  $K^\dagger \chi = \chi'$ . Then  $K \in \text{SU}(\mathbf{U}, h)$ , where  $h \equiv \sqrt{2} \bar{p}^b / \mu$ .*

Hence,  $\mathbf{W}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{W}^- \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  turn out to be trivializable principal bundles, with structure group  $\text{SU}(2)$ .



## References

- [1] Canarutto, D., Jadczyk A. and Modugno, M.: ‘Quantum mechanics of a spin particle in a curved spacetime with absolute time’, Rep. Math. Phys. **36** (1995), 95–140.
- [2] Canarutto, D. and Jadczyk A.: ‘Fundamental geometric structures for the Dirac equation in General Relativity’, Acta Appl. Math. **50** N.1 (1998), 59–92.
- [3] Canarutto, D. and Jadczyk, A.: ‘Two-spinors and Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields’, Il Nuovo Cimento **113** B (1997), 49–67.
- [4] Canarutto, D.: ‘Possibly degenerate tetrad gravity and Maxwell-Dirac fields’, J. Math. Phys. **39**, N.9 (1998), 4814–4823.
- [5] Canarutto, D.: ‘Two-spinors, field theories and geometric optics in curved spacetime’, Acta Appl. Math. **62** N.2 (2000), 187–224.
- [6] Canarutto, D.: *Quantum bundles and quantum interactions*, Int. J. Geom. Met. Mod. Phys., **2** N.5, (2005), 895–917.
- [7] Crumeyrolle, A.: *Orthogonal and Symplectic Clifford Algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1990).
- [8] Ferraris, M. and Kijowski J.: ‘Unified Geometric Theory of Electromagnetic and Gravitational Interactions’, Gen. Rel. Grav. **14**, 1 (1982), 37–47.
- [9] Greub, W.: *Multilinear Algebra*, Springer, New York (1978).
- [10] Gronwald, F. and Hehl, F.W.: ‘On the Gauge Aspects of Gravity’, Proc. of the 14th Course of the School of Cosmology and Gravitation on *Quantum Gravity*, held at Erice, Italy, May 1995, P.G. Bergamann, V. de Sabbata and H.-J. Treder eds. World Scientific, Singapore (1996).
- [11] Hehl, F.W., McCrea, J.D., Mielke, E.W. and Ne’eman, Y.: ‘Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilaton invariance’, Phys. Rep. **258** (1995), 1–171.
- [12] Penrose, R. and Rindler, W.: *Spinors and space-time. I: Two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1984).
- [13] Regge, T.: ‘The group manifold approach to unified gravity’, in *Relativity, groups and topology II*, Les Houches 1983, B.S. DeWitt and R. Stora editors, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1984), 933–1005.